

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F1	Broadstone	<p>"Draft F"</p> <p>I agree that the introduction of a town council in Broadstone would benefit the area and the people standing already do good things, however, I do have serious concerns over the running costs of a Town Council and feel they have been significantly under estimated and will have to increase after the first year of governance.</p> <p>It is said that services for the community may be transferred to the Town Council, however, BCP would not transfer the proportional amount from the budget for the said service whatever it may be resulting in additional charges for services that we already pay for to BCP.</p> <p>14 councilors seems high for such a small area, whilst councilors are voluntary they do claim expenses.</p> <p>A lot of people are struggling financially with the forced increases from central government along with local authorities and if the bubble is continually expanding with these costs eventually it will burst and we will all be in a mess. A serious overall of what really matters not just locally but nationally needs to take place and as a country we need to get back to basics and stop throwing billions into fanciful causes before it's too late.</p>	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F2	Broadstone	(B)The proposals cement the existing boundaries such that my postcode (BH18 9QZ) remains within 'Broadstone'.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F3	Broadstone	10. Why so many councillors?	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F4	Broadstone	14 is too many councillors.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F5	Broadstone	a Agree because the identity of Broadstone needs to be reinforced. c Broadstone is now a well-established name. g.h. Agree with numbers and assume that these can be amended to meet requirements as the area and council develop.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F6	Broadstone	a I just believe a parish council would be beneficial to Broadstone residents	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F7	Broadstone	'a' This will give residents of Broadstone more say in decisions.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F8	Broadstone	'a' Broadstone has always had it's own unique identity, known to many residents as a village . I am aware that much of the council tax paid to BCP must go to meeting the increasing costs of adult social care and children's SEND. A town council, elected by the residents of Broadstone, would be able to provide additional services uniquely tailored to our lovely village. This work has already been started by our wonderful Neighbourhood Forum, but their funding has almost dried up.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F9	Broadstone	a) - To address issues directly relevant to and impacting upon the residents of our village, which has its own distinct identity d) + e) - I strongly favour the recognition of our community as a village rather than a town.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F10	Broadstone	<p>a) Broadstone has a strong community based around the central retail area, with numerous community facilities. I believe a parish/town council would help to retain these in the face of potential financial [unknown] in services by BCP council. The precept would enable the community to invest in the physical facilities eg play parks, community [unknown], your centre, etc as well as support the many community groups that exist within Broadstone.</p> <p>Thus it would help resolve the democratic difficulties that currently exists in the decisive [ruling?] process, giving Broadstone residents a say in those things that affect them at the very [unknown] level.</p>	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F11	Broadstone	<p>A) Broadstone residents need a greater say in what happens to their local area.</p> <p>F-H) Not sure that 14 is the right number for Councillors I would have thought 10 would be sufficient.</p>	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F12	Broadstone	<p>a) I strongly believe that Broadstone should have a parish or town council. It is a natural progression from having a successful neighbourhood forum so that these can be even more local input from the community and enhance the quality of life further.</p> <p>d) I would support either a parish or a town council</p> <p>g) h) I am not sure whether 12 councillors would be better and hence the division would be different between the wards.</p>	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F13	Broadstone	<p>a) It seems to me a sensible idea for local areas to have some autonomy over what is happening in that local area. Therefore, the creation of a town council seems like a good route to this.</p> <p>b) The boundary shown falls within my expectation of Broadstone.</p> <p>c) Broadstone is the most sensible name.</p> <p>d) I agree that that it should be in the style of a town council.</p> <p>e) The name is sensible.</p> <p>f) Again, the division of the area is a logical one.</p> <p>g) I assume the number of councillors is based on other like areas and town councils, although 14 does seem quite a lot, but I have no real issue with the number of councillors.</p> <p>h) The division of councillors also seems logical.</p>	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F14	Broadstone	A. A Town Council is a great idea. B. Do we need 14 councillors ? Seems an awful lot. Peter Sidaway said it would be 4 at a recent meeting.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F15	Broadstone	a. Broadstone deserves a stronger voice in local decisions. Establishing a parish gives residents more control over services, community spaces, and the area's future. b. The proposed boundary makes sense—it reflects Broadstone's identity and keeps the community whole. It avoids arbitrary splits and includes areas people naturally associate with Broadstone. c. "Broadstone" is the obvious and only name that fits. It's well recognised and reflects the area's history and character. d. A town council gives Broadstone parity with places like Wimborne and Verwood. It shows confidence in the community and allows for proper civic representation. e. "Broadstone Town Council" sounds right—it gives clarity, matches the area's scale, and avoids confusion with other parish councils. f. The four wards (Clump, Golf, Recreation, Spring) are sensibly drawn. They reflect real parts of Broadstone and help ensure councillors represent distinct parts of the community. g. Fourteen councillors seems balanced—it's enough to reflect different views and workload, without being excessive. h. The split across the wards is proportionate. It helps ensure each area gets fair representation and prevents one part of Broadstone from dominating the council.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F16	Broadstone	Agree	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F17	Broadstone	Agree with recommendations already submitted.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F18	Broadstone	<p>Agree with the proposal in principal except for the southern boundary. Historically, The whole area around Northbook Road, and Stoborough Drive, Whitby Avenue, Keighley Avenue and York Road would always have been considered as part of Broadstone. And perhaps to a slightly lesser extent the whole of Hillbourne, bounded bounded by Broadstone Way and Cabot Lane would also have associated with Broadstone rather than Creekmoor.</p> <p>The Broadstone way imposes a physical boundary between Creekmoor and Broadstone and this should be set as the southern boundary of the new Broadstone Town Council area.</p>	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F19	Broadstone	a-h) Provided it is clearly drawn up exactly what addition to council tax will be and a sealing put on this, I believe it is a good idea for Broadstone to have its own town council.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F20	Broadstone	a-h) if (a) is to happen, then (b-h) seem logical decisions to take	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F21	Broadstone	All recommendations a to h inclusive will improve governance and services in Broadstone	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F22	Broadstone	all recommendations a,b,3,d,e - our Broadstone Neighbourhood Forum has worked hard to understand what they believe would work for Broadstone residents and Businesses and I support their work here.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F23	Broadstone	An unnecessary additional layer of bureaucracy	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F24	Broadstone	As a retired resident of many years (Over 35 Years) I am unable to perceive any benefits this extra layer of beaurocracy would provide for me. I cannot afford an extra £5 or more per month on my pension.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F25	Broadstone	b the boundary lines seem more logical	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH17 7
F26	Broadstone	Broadstone does need another political quango in the grip of a select and elite group of powerful politicians who already run Broadstone like a cabal. More council tax increases being charged to hard pressed local residents for more bureaucracy that will deliver only what they personally wish from not want actual residents want. See BCP 3 Town Alliance !	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F27	Broadstone	Broadstone has a large population and yet is not a designated town, whereas Corfe Mullen has a simalar population and is a town.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F28	Broadstone	<p>Broadstone is a clearly defined community with a strong identity and a desire for self-determination. However, as a more affluent part of BCP it is generally unable to access funds directed towards deprivation and so some of the services that are important to the people who live there have been impacted by austerity and then by the wider cuts. We have lost out public toilets, our library hours have been cut and there are threats to the maintenance of our playing fields, investment in our football club and the retention of our youth club,</p> <p>Our public realm is tired and the council can rarely support the community in its desire to uplift it.</p> <p>We have good community events but they are hamstrung by a council that stands in its way, when it has the local expertise to take on more for itself.</p> <p>We see the success of Corfe Mullen, Wimborne and Upton in driving their community forward from a central focus through a town council and want to bring the same to Broadstone.</p> <p>Residents want to see improved maintenance (repaired bins, benches and clean signs), tidier areas with better planting, weeding and grass cutting and stronger events. We might choose to invest in our public toilets or tennis courts, improve community transport, hold more events and provide grants to our organisations. We might even choose to fund a community officer to deal with anti-social behaviour or low level crime issues.</p> <p>We cannot even get our popular and extremely important remembrance parade properly funded under the current arrangements.</p> <p>Perhaps we could even twin with another similar community.</p>	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F29	Broadstone	Broadstone should be governed by locsls	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F30	Broadstone	Broadstone, along with the rest of Poole, was dragged into BCP when it was formed a few years ago without consultation by the Conservatives. Creating a town council would bring some decision-making back to a more local level. I have no view as to whether dividing Broadstone into four wards is necessarily the best way to structure this.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F31	Broadstone	c, No particular reason, just I believe that there should be parish councils to deal with local matters from local people who are local.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F32	Broadstone	d - Broadstone should have a town council to own its own destiny	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F33	Broadstone	d and e - I don't understand the significant of the style of Town over that of Parish. h - judging by the ratio of other parishes, I think we could do well with one less councillor per ward, i.e. 10 instead of 14.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F34	Broadstone	Despite reassurances it is obvious this will add further costs to our already high Council Tax. It seems obvious BCP want to offload responsibilities to others while still increasing their own take from the population. This is just a form of retreat to the individual councils we once had but in a more expensive form.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F35	Broadstone	Due to very poor communication and advertising of this proposal, I only new that this was happening last week when a random flyer mixed in with lots of other junk flyers dropped through my door, the reason I am hesitant is my lack of knowledge and available time to research in depth issues about the procedure and the very short time to respond due to going away on sunday and returning after the closure date. If you going to advertise make it stand out from other posters stuck on walls in libraries	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F36	Broadstone	f) I don't understand why there needs to be 4 separate wards. Doesn't that just smack of yet more bureaucracy and unnecessary duplication?	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F37	Broadstone	F. Always known and Broadstone	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH21 3

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F38	Broadstone	f. No one should ever have to say they live in an area called 'Clump'.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F39	Broadstone	g - I think finding 14 councillors will be difficult so in order to try to have contested elections, 12 would be better. I realise that 2 wards would be slightly bigger but I think that is manageable.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F40	Broadstone	g 14 councillors seems excessive, lower population per councillor than other proposals	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F41	Broadstone	g) need 14 diverse councillors. Male/female young/old	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F42	Broadstone	g) the elected council members for each area should be equal ie. 4 wards - 4 members 16 in total h) the number of residents should be roughly equal in each ward and if not, a fifth ward should be formed	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F43	Broadstone	H - too many councillors.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F44	Broadstone	h) fair considering population in each area	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F45	Broadstone	Having considered the recommendations, I fully support all options as being the most suitable for Broadstone. I have discussed this with other residents in Broadstone.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F46	Broadstone	Having had time to digest and read through the recommendations, I strongly feel that recommendations a-l (inclusive) will all facilitate the creation of an effective Broadstone Town Council which will be of benefit to all in the four parish wards.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F47	Broadstone	I agree in principle to the formation of a council for Broadstone but have apprehension about two areas: 1) the transfer of services must have the correct amount of funding 2) a control mechanism for precept funding in line with general BCP rules for community changes.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F48	Broadstone	I agree with a Broadstone council but do not like to see it divided up into 4 parts as worry things will deviate away into one council and cut another out.As w'e're close to Creekmoor, I always worry the 'Clump' which is a poor name is sidelined and pushed out of the 'Broadstone' fold at some point. Therefore having a combined view with councillors from all parts would be sufficient.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F49	Broadstone	I agree with all of the recommendations, I think having a town council just for Broadstone will allow us to invest in our own community.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F50	Broadstone	I agree with all of these recommendations and think that it will be a good thing for the area and for the residents.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F51	Broadstone	I agree with all the draft recommendations - I believe that Broadstone would benefit hugely from having its own Town Council so that Broadstone residents have a local input into the way that funds are spent in their local community, for the benefit of the local community.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F52	Broadstone	I agree with the draft recommendation - I would like to know why a town council is better than a parish council though.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F53	Broadstone	I am not sure there is a need for 4 distinct areas, Broadstone is not that large, the areas don't have any particular distinguishing features that differ to each other, a parish council for Broadstone as a whole as defined on the map seems sufficient. I don't have a view as to whether 14 councillors are needed or not unless there are clear descriptions for each role. The more you separate the areas and have separate councillors the more there will be disagreements.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F54	Broadstone	I consider that having a town council for Broadstone will give greater control on expenditure and decision making by those who know the area best and creates a better opportunity for engagement and involvement within the community.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F55	Broadstone	I consider that the draft recommendations provide the most secure future for Broad stone and it's residents.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F56	Broadstone	I feel that Broadstone would benefit on been able to focus money on local issues and ensure money is spent within the local area as we are on the edge of BCP	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F57	Broadstone	I guess certain projects / facilities may [unknown] which would not [unknown]	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F58	Broadstone	I have chosen to 'somewhat agree' as I feel that town councils in the BCP area will go ahead and I don't want Broadstone lumped together with Poole. I feel that the original Bournemouth, Poole and Christchurch town councils should not have merged in the first place in 2019 - such a waste of money and time as we are now splitting into different councils.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F59	Broadstone	I think Broadstone should have a town council. It has a strong identity and wonderfully motivated volunteer community. So much good will come from local decisions being made by local people funded directly by the local community. I agree with the recommendations.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F60	Broadstone	I think it will benefit the area, having more local people looking after it	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F61	Broadstone	I think of Broadstone as more of a village than a town as the town is Poole.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F62	Broadstone	I think the idea is good and locals for local decisions is the way it should be	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F63	Broadstone	I would like Broadstone to have it's own Town Council to ensure local issues and concerns are looked at locally rather than part of a larger area.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F64	Broadstone	I would rather have a say in what happens in Broadstone than to be placed under the control of another town council	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F65	Broadstone	<p>I'm against the creation of the town/parish councils for the following reasons</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - introduces unnecessary bureaucracy - lack of local support for the initiative - discussion of this is already dividing Broadstone - Broadstone is supported by a volunteers who already support many of the services - Costs to residents - not all of Broadstone is affluent - Precepts will introduce disparity in total council tax's across the BCP area driving inequality - Unlike the Council Tax there is no cap on the precept meaning overtime the disparity across the BCP area will widen - Don't we previously have town councils which were disbanded due to the cost? - Broadstone discussions are already political with existing Lib Dem councillor looking to stand as a town councillor - they will just be an expansion of the council and push political agendas and likely not independently representing the local community 	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F66	Broadstone	It is difficult to tell from the map whether Creekmoor has been included. It is important that Broadstone consists only of the BH18 Post Code. Do not include Creekmoor within Broadstone. Leave the name of Broadstone as it is, do not add Town Council to it.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F67	Broadstone	It seems to be a lot of councillors	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F68	Broadstone	It will be nice to make independent decisions for what services are supported in Broadstone such as playgrounds toilets etc	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F69	Broadstone	It would be good to have a council that is more focused on local issues.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F70	Broadstone	Local idea generation and ownership Strong sphere of personal influence	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F71	Broadstone	NA	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F72	Broadstone	Not that it affects me, I don't particularly like the name Clump. One limitation may be having sufficient capable town councillors. The town council will need to deliver good value for money and there should be noticeable improvements.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F73	Broadstone	Recommendations c, d and e: There is a strong community spirit within Broadstone and so I support the creation of a Town Council for Broadstone.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F74	Broadstone	Recreation seems to include to part of Hilborne - I would include that in Poole. Clump includes Pinesprings which I would include with Creekmoor	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F75	Broadstone	The area requires local decision making by people who live here. There are a range of issues facing the community, and we require local involvement, and local control of the area's development.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F76	Broadstone	The idea of a more local Council is appealing but you haven't really explained how it will operate or be funded or staffed. Why does it cost more if councillors won't be paid? What kind of autonomy in relation to BCP? Makes me nervous	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F77	Broadstone	The map shown on this printout was so poor I couldn't properly see where the boundaries lay! However, on closer inspection online they appear fair enough when you consider population size	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F78	Broadstone	The proposal is sound and important to extend local control	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F79	Broadstone	These local councils work well in France and lead to greater community engagement, better facilities within the community and an improved quality of life. They also serve to engender local civic pride. Also I couldn't believe it a few years back when a previous administration at BCP closed all public toilets to save a negligible sum of money. Local Town Councils will act to protect us from such idiocy in the future.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F80	Broadstone	This seems to me to be another organisation with a hidden agenda, yet another tax on top of our already high council taxes. These services are already provided by BCP, i assume that mismanagement of monies lead the drive to establish Parish Council's more tax can be extracted from hard pressed families. If we are to have an additional 14 councillors foisted on us all claiming expenses I cannot see the precept staying at a sensible level, in fact we should be getting a reduction as BCP will opt out of provision of some services, maybe some BCP councillors who show such disdain for residents could be dispensed with.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F81	Broadstone	Very keen to have more local control. Broadstone is the right size for this	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F82	Broadstone	Very supportive for Broadstone having its own council to focus attention and expenditure on our village, and supplement what BCP does (and does not). My only challenge is why establish Broadstone with a town council versus a parish council? Apart from the name, I believe the only real difference is that a town council can have a mayor. I don't believe that Broadstone is large enough or would require a mayor.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F83	Broadstone	We need to have more control over the spending	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F84	Broadstone	I do not feel at this time with the constraints of family finances a further tier of administration is a benefit to the area. It has raised concerns as to the decision taken by some individuals to apply for a town Council without transparency	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F85	Broadstone	<p>"a" strongly disagree as I do not believe that we need yet another layer of local bureaucracy.</p> <p>"b" agree as the map does appear to reflect the boundaries of Broadstone.</p> <p>"c" agree that IF a parish is established it should be called after Broadstone.</p> <p>"d" disagree as I do not believe there will be any benefit in creating unnecessary layers to local government.</p> <p>"e" disagree as I do not believe a town council is needed.</p> <p>"f" disagree as it is unnecessary to divide Broadstone because it will not help community cohesion to divide the town.</p> <p>"g" disagree as our ward already has enough BCP councillors to represent Broadstone and a town council will just add unnecessary layers for no benefit.</p> <p>"h" disagree as the current number of councillors already elected are sufficient to represent the Broadstone residents.</p>	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F86	Broadstone	<p>(a) A town council for Broadstone will simply add another layer of bureaucracy to our local government and it is not clear how this would benefit the residents of Broadstone. It is more likely to cause confusion and argument over which council is responsible for which services, delay decision-making due to the extra people and consultation involved and therefore reduce efficiency.</p> <p>The BCP flyer suggests that a Broadstone Town Council would be able to 'plug service gaps left by BCP', 'run projects to improve the area' and 'enable community events'. Surely these are matters that we would reasonably expect to be the responsibility of BCP? Introducing parish or town councils suggests that BCP has lost the ability to deal effectively with local issues and should perhaps improve its own efficiency rather than creating yet more layers of bureaucracy.</p>	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F87	Broadstone	<p>(a) disagree – I do not see the need for the overhead of a new town council when BCP has recently been formed to benefit from economies of scale.</p> <p>(b) disagree – as above</p> <p>(c) disagree – as above</p> <p>(d) disagree – as above</p> <p>(e) disagree – as above</p> <p>(f) disagree – as above</p> <p>(g) disagree – what is the significance of the name “Clump”?</p> <p>(h) disagree – even if I agreed with the proposal, 14 Councillors seems excessive based on the proposed services</p> <p>(i) disagree – as above</p>	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F88	Broadstone	<p>(a) I am totally opposed to the creation of a town council for Broadstone as it creates an unnecessary extra level of bureaucracy which we will have to pay for through an additional community charge which we cannot afford</p>	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F89	Broadstone	(a) I strongly disagree with the establishment of a town or parish council anywhere in the borough including Broadstone. Another layer of councillors will contribute nothing but bureaucracy and delay in any endeavour whether developments or planning. The creation of an unnecessary parish or town council can only serve to make BCP councillors jobs & the representation of residents more difficult	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F90	Broadstone	(A) There is no need for a town council to be established in Broadstone. The needs of Broadstone residents can and should be met by BCP council. We do not need an extra layer of bureaucracy. (B) This is not necessary. We are now part of BCP council. (C) Unnecessary. (D) I do not want a Town Council in my area because I feel it is not needed by the majority of local residents. (E) As above (F) There is no need to divide Broadstone into separate areas. (G) I do not want 14 councillors in my area making decisions that could be self serving and not necessarily impartial. This is unnecessary. (H) As above.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F91	Broadstone	(a)-(h) I do not want a parish town council for Broadstone which will incur further Council Tax charges which are already too high. I don't see that this new Town Council will benefit me in any way, shape or form and strongly oppose it.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F92	Broadstone	(a). Why on earth do we need another layer of management? Why aren't the BCP ward councillors doing this job? I feel very strongly that we need to reduce, rather than increase head count. You should be working to reduce the ever increasing Council Tax , not adding another cost!	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F93	Broadstone	10a - I strongly disagree unless there is a strict 5 year mandate, explaining exactly what will be done to DEMONSTRATE EVERYDAY IMPROVEMENTS TO EVERYONE living in the area, including VISIBLY enhancing the area. With a CAP on additional charges along with a secondary review in 5 years time to RENEW the mandate. Secondly there far too many councillors (assume unpaid) and I question the need to split the town into 4 random areas WHY.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F94	Broadstone	10a I strongly disagree with the proposal. It's only a few years ago that the the three town councils were amalgamated into BCP ostensibly to save money despite strong opposition. Now you want to turn the clock back at least for some services but this time not even with the intention of saving money. We will have yet more bureaucracy and more jobsworthy councillors, no doubt duplicating overlapping services. Is each town council going to purchase it's own grass cutter for example and pay the operator? There will have to be remunerated office staff and auditors. Are the number of employed BCP staff going to be reduced to adjust for the fact that there will be less work for them? The whole scheme is ill thought through and will achieve nothing of benefit for BCP residents who elected you into office to serve them.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F95	Broadstone	<p>A - F This is totally unnecessary, just another layer of expensive self important bureaucrats. The Council should be working on more important issues, for example, stop spending money on useless projects. Cycle paths are hardly used and have taken over much of the BCP roads. Another layer of government is not in the public interest and I vehemently oppose the whole project</p> <p>I understand from the flyer I received through the post that citing the increase costs of such a project to residents is not a valid reason for objection.</p> <p>I disagree!! It is probably THE most important consideration! We are all suffering the incompetence of the present UK government and its treatment of its retired population. Any additional financial burden on residents should only be considered IF there is no alternative. In this case the alternative is to maintain the status quo.</p> <p>We are all heartily sick of more spending on idiotic cycle paths and the abysmal potholed road surfaces.</p> <p>Your residents are not Cash Cows to be milked whenever some financing is required on harebrained useless schemes.</p> <p>G What ridiculous names! Were they chosen by a group of 6 year olds??</p> <p>H&I - totally unnecessary!</p>	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F96	Broadstone	<p>A - i am not wholly convinced a town council is needed and i do not believe the figures outlined in the charter are achievable based on other similarly sized town councils - i feel a precept closer to £150 (the same as Corfe Mullen) will be required. I also don't feel it has been fully explained to residents that the town council pays for its own elections.</p> <p>B, C, D, E - I am content with the name and the boundary etc so agree with all of those should it be established - I'm just not convinced of the need - hence why I don't feel it needs to be run as a town council! But if it goes ahead the name is fine.</p> <p>F - the name clump is ridiculous! Please consider an alternative as it just sounds vile (I don't even live in clump!). All the other wards sound pleasant but clump just sounds awful.</p> <p>G, H - all wards should have the same number of councillors so whether it be 12 and four wards of 3 or 16 and 4 wards of 4 I think that would be better</p>	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F97	Broadstone	'a' I don't feel the need for any extra governance in the services provided to broadstone area	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F98	Broadstone	a - I strongly disagree with the recommendation to implement a Broadstone Parish council. I feel it is unnecessary to have another level of administration in Broadstone with the additional expense involved. Paid for by Council tax payers	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F99	Broadstone	<p>A - I strongly disagree. A Broadstone Parish council does not need to be established. We already have a council full of busy bodies (Vicki Slade, Andy Hadley just to name a few...) who are overpaid and on a power trip without adding in another 14 to the parish.</p> <p>B - I somewhat disagree... I feel the area should cover Waterloo & Sopers Lane as contrary to popular belief, they've always been considered Broadstone and not Creekmoor.</p> <p>G - I think it's a ridiculous idea, which I massively disagree on. There is no need for a parish council for Broadstone, let alone a parish council with 14 MEMBERS!! Which will ultimately lead to a unwarranted and unlimited rise in council tax</p>	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F100	Broadstone	A and D. The recent merger creating BCP was to gain economies of scale and reduce bureaucracy. The establishment of another layer of council defeats the object. In addition I pay council tax which increases by the maximum possible rate annually. I do not need or want to pay twice. It is a ridiculous suggestion.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F101	Broadstone	A B I strongly disagree... The reason being is that it seems to be illegal. Not all the residents have had notification of this absurd proposal.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F102	Broadstone	a d e f g h Broadstone is a suburb of Poole and always will be. Layers of government should be reduced in number not increased. The cost of a local council prohibits its formation. BCP Council already exists. An exercise which burdens residents with extra costs	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F103	Broadstone	a I do not want to see a town council for Broadstone as this will cost more money, BCP charge enough as it is.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F104	Broadstone	a I strongly feel that this is another taxation which will only go up each year and is in effect paying twice for the same fascilities.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F105	Broadstone	a very unessential bureaucracy no thank you	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F106	Broadstone	A waste of money. BCP needs to get its act together to improve our area.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F107	Broadstone	A waste of time and money.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F108	Broadstone	A) Broadstone is a superb of Poole, which should keep it's own council like it did before BCP was invented.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH17 7
F109	Broadstone	a) I consider the formation of a town Council in Broadstone to be an unnecessary level of bureaucracy and over representation. g) The election of 14 councillors is ridiculous. What are they going to do? How many councillors does it take to look after the few allotments in Broadstone?	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F110	Broadstone	a) I disagree with the formation of a Broadstone Town Council. I believe the process for it's formation is not democratic and believe there are questionable conflicts of interest involved.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F111	Broadstone	a) I disagree with the proposal. Putting an added financial burden on families in a volatile monetary climate with many utility bills rising	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F112	Broadstone	A) I do not believe that we need another level of governance. I cannot see any tangible benefits for the additional cost. We would be relying on voluntary councillors which is makes us vulnerable.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F113	Broadstone	<p>a) I don't feel that my interests would be better served by a more local body in addition to BCP. There is no indication of the powers and responsibilities that are likely to be taken on by the town council. There is no indication of how the BCP council tax will change (drop) as a result of some responsibilities being devolved to a town council. There is no justification of why formation of town and parish councils will result in better services.</p> <p>b) Seems sensible.</p> <p>c) No other name makes any sense.</p> <p>d) I don't understand the difference between town and parish councils apart from the name.</p> <p>e) See c)</p> <p>f), g), h) Seems reasonable, but I haven't been convinced by the arguments to establish the extra layer.</p>	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F114	Broadstone	<p>a) I don't understand what benefits this has. I thought that combining Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole into one council was for the administration to be more effective</p>	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F115	Broadstone	<p>A) I strongly object to an additional disparate layer of bureaucracy being added. If the current system is failing then fix that. The line of sight and control is diluted with every additional layer. We should keep it simple but make it effective. Quality not quantity of decision makers. The other questions are irrelevant in light of my opinion on A).</p>	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F116	Broadstone	<p>a) I strongly object to the forming of a Broadstone Town Council and the process that would be followed if it were to proceed. The process is not democratic and questionable conflicts of interest are involved.</p>	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F117	Broadstone	<p>a) I strongly oppose the introduction of another layer of council introducing more overhead and inefficiency. The current BCP council is sufficient to serve my needs. If established I for see my tax burden increasing with fewer controls to limit this by central government.</p>	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F118	Broadstone	<p>a) It is not clear how adding another layer of bureaucracy will benefit the residents of Broadstone. Although it will give the appearance of allowing a more “local” say in council services, it will introduce confusion over who is responsible for which services, delay decision-making, decrease efficiency, and reduce community cohesion by splitting us away from other parts of the BCP area.</p> <p>Not many years ago, we were sold the idea of merging Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole councils into one council as a way to improve efficiency; now, the suggestion is to introduce another layer of councils, effectively splitting BCP into even smaller components than before. That is hardly a consistent approach to local government!</p> <p>I have seen mention of a Broadstone Town Council being able to “plug service gaps left by BCP”; “run projects to improve the area”; and “enable community events” as the main benefits. Surely these are all things we would reasonably expect BCP to be doing? Rather than try to offload some of its work onto a new body, BCP should step up to its responsibilities and provide the services we expect of them.</p>	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F119	Broadstone	<p>a) on reflection I am against the idea. with 14 councillors it would become a talking shop. I am quite happy with how Broadstone is at present. apart from the lunatic closure of public toilets. The % cost is too great and undemocratic; eg. if all votes are choosing rightly, as equal then so should be the cost. not as a % of BCP council tax bands. We are already within BCP area enough money is wasted and this proposal would only add to this.</p>	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F120	Broadstone	<p>a) There is no essential need for it or a Broadstone parish or Broadstone Town Council.</p> <p>b) There is no essential need for it or a Broadstone parish or Broadstone Town Council.</p> <p>c) There is no essential need for it or a Broadstone parish or Broadstone Town Council.</p> <p>d) There is no essential need for it or a Broadstone parish or Broadstone Town Council.</p> <p>e) There is no essential need for it or a Broadstone parish or Broadstone Town Council.</p> <p>f) There is no essential need for it or a Broadstone parish or Broadstone Town Council.</p> <p>g) There is no essential need for it or a Broadstone parish or Broadstone Town Council.</p> <p>Furthermore, it is unreasonable and unnecessary to incur costs to constituents of three or more councillors salaries per ward area before any other costs given that many of the potential benefits are not essential.</p> <p>h) There is no essential need for it or a Broadstone parish or Broadstone Town Council.</p> <p>Furthermore, it is unreasonable and unnecessary to incur costs to constituents of three or more councillors salaries per ward area before any other costs given that many of the potential benefits are not essential.</p>	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH17 7
F121	Broadstone	<p>a) I am assuming that the last check circle was for “strongly disagree” and that is how I have used it, but it had no title. a) we do not need yet another level of bureaucracy with more amateur politicians interfering with our lives, promoting their own agendas, bombarding us with their political views and a main focus on forging a political career. c) we don’t need another council so the name is irrelevant. d) Town councils are for TOWNS, not a small "village" community. We used to have 3 councils for the area, now we have one and you want to introduce many more. BCP and councillors should do the job they were elected for and represent their citizens, not rely on others; or is this an admission that they are incapable of doing the job they assured us they were fit for g) Just ridiculous to add more people of doubtful ability and potentially inflated egos constantly finding causes to campaign about to show us how important they are. A major distraction for people just wanting to get on with their lives without more political campaigning, publicity campaigns and elections</p>	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F122	Broadstone	a) See no need for an extra layer of bureaucracy doing a job which should already be covered. Creating extra divisions can only add to the possibility of something falling between the lines	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F123	Broadstone	a)To form any type of Parish or Town Council is totally unnecessary and will only add confusion with BCP as to who is doing what and risk of duplication. There will be no worthwhile benefit to the constituents to form this extra layer of Local Government. Keep things simple and leave BCP as it is. h)The number of councillors elected to each of the respective wards is far too many. To have an Elector to Councillor ratio of less than 1000 to 1 is totally unnecessary. I would also be very concerned that with low engagement at elections for Town Councils, unopposed seats would limit democratic legitimacy.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F124	Broadstone	a, d, e, f, g, h This extra layer of governance will only exist to do what BHP council should be doing in the first place and is likely just to be another inflation busting expense to residents.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F125	Broadstone	a, disagree as do not believe a parish council is required for Broadstone	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F126	Broadstone	A, I this a duplication of activities that we already pay to BCP	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F127	Broadstone	a,b,c ect I do not feel that we need another level of governance	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F128	Broadstone	A,B,C Strongly disagree	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F129	Broadstone	A,b,c,d It will be another on cost to an already overpriced council bill that will become more expensive every year. We already waste millions of pounds on pointless 20mph speed limits and cycle lanes that are barely used.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F130	Broadstone	a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h I strongly disagree with your proposal to change our Village of Broadstone to a Town Council with 4 parishes. 14 Councillors is far too many and could cause issues. Too many cooks spoil the broth comes to mind. We are currently paying Council Tax for the Services you listed that will be passed on to each Town Council, why charge us extra? People cannot afford the cost of living in this economic economy and it's going to get worse with forthcoming budgets. These Town Councils will still need BCP Aproval. You're just passing the buck! Clump Parish! Dividing a village into parishes I foresee issues, how are you going to make sure money is spent fairly for each Parish? Will Residents be kept informed of financials?	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F131	Broadstone	<p>A,B,C,E,F,G,H.</p> <p>I do not agree with forming another level of bureaucracy in forming a parish or town, I want our existing council to step up and do what they were elected to do. That is to serve the whole of the council area as one and let the local elected councillors represent their area. The council is in desperate need of funds and further expense in forming these parishes and towns is a total waste of funds and a further cost to the residents. The cost of this consultation alone could be spent on a number of other things. Council please wake up and get the existing system in order, increase productivity in all departments, sort out the mess of bringing the three councils together to include the pay structure of the differing departments, ensuring those staff that have to work at home do actually work efficiently and effectively and are valued. Stop going to consultations to the residents who when comment, you the council disagree with the residents comments and apply the changes you want, ie closing the entrance to Poole Park to and from Whitecliff. There is no need in my view to introduce parishes or towns. Please realise as a council you cannot milk the ratepayers under the heading of precept to fund these proposals.</p>	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F132	Broadstone	<p>a,d,g & h</p> <p>We already have councillor representation and adding another tier is simply going to be to use the precept to raise funds to subsidise the BCP c£455m debt.</p>	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F133	Broadstone	<p>A. I am opposed to creating a parish of Broadstone. My main objection is that you will be setting up another quango department adding extra bureaucracy.</p>	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F134	Broadstone	<p>a. I cannot see the benefit of an additional layer of local government at the residents expenses. Why merge BCP and for more efficiency and presumably lower cost only to put in a further layer of local government at an additional cost.</p>	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F135	Broadstone	<p>A. We have enough town councillors as it stands.</p> <p>B. There is no need for anymore to be established as in the recommendations - it's just more money for very little effect and we already pay enough council tax as it is.</p> <p>C. There is no real benefit to being a Parish that I can see from the recommendations.</p>	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F136	Broadstone	<p>A.</p> <p>Broadstone is already highly political. I believe a town council will bring more politics locally, move services away from BCP that they should already be providing and passing the extra costs onto residents.</p> <p>F.</p> <p>Having seen all the ward names for the various formed or proposed town/parish councils, I have to question why Broadstone is suggesting rather odd ward names like Clump and Golf? They sound made up and un professional.</p>	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F137	Broadstone	<p>a. A parish council is just another level of bureaucracy, we have gone through LGR on the basis of cost savings and centralisation and then you have the audacity to suggest we need to bring back more levels of bureaucracy which will come at a cost to the council tax payer which your table in the consultation does it best to understate and play down. VERY misleading. This is huge smoke and mirrors exercise put off getting the three councils properly aligned, and to transfer costs to the council tax payer under another guise. I have not seen in this consultation that you are making people aware of the business support that this Parish/Town Council will require and once again the cost that will be involved in that just so you can transfer services which are costing BCP money out the the Parish.</p> <p>f. Dividing Broadstone into 4 will just create local NIMBYism.</p> <p>g. the local neighbourhood watch struggles to get volunteers to deliver a news paper and you honestly believe you are going to get 14 Councillors, who are independant of each other. It took over 2 years for the neighbourhood watch to get someone to take on the Chairmanship because Vicky wanted to stand side. I can't believe you are honestly suggesting that we need one Council per 500 people, madness this will end up with either in constant grid lock or with a few power hunger individuals making all the decision to suit themselves and associates. The residence association can continue to do its excellent work it doesn't need to be a parish/town council with associated buar</p>	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F138	Broadstone	<p>a. Another layer of local governance will add to council tax bills, cause confusion over responsibilities for local services, cause tensions and confusion for interactions between all the different new towns/parishes of the bcp area. Spend the council tax on improving current services and communications with the council tax payers rather than setting up more local government layers which will in itself cause tensions between elected authorities.</p> <p>b. irrelevant for my opposing view</p> <p>c. irrelevant for my opposing view</p> <p>d. additional costs for council tax payers</p> <p>e. irrelevant for my opposing view</p> <p>f. irrelevant for my opposing view</p> <p>g. 14 councillors each with expense claims against the council tax payers - no thanks</p> <p>h. irrelevant for my opposing view</p>	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F139	Broadstone	a. Broadstone already has a Neighbourhood Forum which was set up at considerable effort and expense and is currently doing a very good job. If a town/parish council was set up there would be no need for the Forum. It currently controls the spending of the C.I.L., this would be handed over to the Town/Parish councillors. f. by dividing Broadstone into 4 wards, some wards may be better represented than others due to the efforts or lack of effort of individual councillors.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F140	Broadstone	A. I live in POOLE, NOT BROADSTONE, and I like living in Poole. I completely loathe the people from Broadstone and do not ever wish to be associated with anything to do with Broadstone because I LIVE HAPPILY IN POOLE!!!!	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH17 7
F141	Broadstone	a: This will just create another level of management and another set of costs along with it.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F142	Broadstone	Adds yet another layer of bureaucracy like to be inefficient, made up of those with few skills sets appropriate to the post. We have enough of those as it is.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F143	Broadstone	A-H I strongly oppose an additional layer that is unnecessary and will create more bureaucracy and division. It becomes confusing to know who to go to and who to talk with. I feel what we have works well and we do not need an additional layer. I strongly believe creating different wards divides the community having one identity which it currently has. I would rather see BCP increase their resources and improve their services rather than create another layer.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F144	Broadstone	a-h Adding a further layer within BCP will increase bureaucracy, reduce accountability	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F145	Broadstone	a-h Complete waste of money setting up a parish council. I do not want a parish council.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F146	Broadstone	a-h) an additional level of local government would achieve absolutely nothing to the benefit of the Broadstone community	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F147	Broadstone	a-h. This is just a further layer of bureaucracy. Having spoken to friends and acquaintances who live in areas which already have town councils, they find no benefit from the additional expense. It appears residents would have no say regarding where this money would be spent, this being decided by the handful of councillors. There is no cap on this amount so once a council is established it can be raised by as much as it wants with residents having no option but to pay. Whilst the councillors themselves may not take a fee for their services, they will be employing clerks, renting office space and purchasing office equipment and supplies, all to come out of the amount raised from residents' contributions.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F148	Broadstone	All - More expense!	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F149	Broadstone	All - there is no need for this - adding an extra tier of councillors is not necessary.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F150	Broadstone	All draft recommendations, this will just be another layer of local government which is not necessary. The whole idea of merging Poole, Christchurch and Bournemouth was to save money now you are proposing creating more councillors which will be more cost and will be paid for by our council tax. Totally disagree with this proposal altogether, and should be put to a vote of the residents of Broadstone.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F151	Broadstone	All proposals are unnecessary layers for zero benefit for the local residents.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F152	Broadstone	All recommendations: This should not be proposed, the existing BCP Council management and performance should be reviewed and measures taken to get back on track and to focus on what the majority of tax payers want, get back to basics.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F153	Broadstone	All- We do not need more bureaucracy with no one taking responsibility for decisions.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F154	Broadstone	All. The proposal is for another tier of bureaucracy which will achieve nothing of substance whilst costing significantly more money.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F155	Broadstone	Another layer of bureaucracy that serves no purpose. 10 a.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F156	Broadstone	Another layer of governing will have minimal benefit to the community compared to the financial cost to the community	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F157	Broadstone	B we don't need a stupid councillor we have enough wasting our money	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F158	Broadstone	bcp council exist to look after the whole area.We do not need another level of beaurocracy in the area.What is needed is the council staff to be more productive and the council to operate like a private business.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F159	Broadstone	BCP council was formed out of a perceived need to create single authority and streamline decisions across the conurbation to reduce costs. As a Poole resident I strongly believe this has been to the detriment of Poole. Council tax has risen (to ensure parity across the new authority) and Poole's services and urban environment has suffered. Whilst I do not support these proposals I would personally support the break-up of BCP council to ensure local targeted services can be returned to Poole. I do not see how parish councils with no powers can improve services and I can only see costs (council tax) rising because of these proposals.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F160	Broadstone	BCP has just gone through the process of combining Poole, Bournemouth & Christchurch councils - WHY. And now your proposal is to create more multiple layers. The current status of 'Broadstone' works well and should remain. The plans will add confusion over which council does which job. The existing scheme is simple. Local council (e.g. BCP council for local issues) and UK Government for National and England issues). BCP should improve their own services, through the existing local councillors, and not introduce further multiple layers of Councils.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F161	Broadstone	BCP should already be servicing our needs as a council and therefore do not see the need to be charged extra for unknown services of which will almost certainly be of no benefit to us. The proposed precept appears to be uncapped therefore giving the residents financial uncertainty regarding annual council tax.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F162	Broadstone	<p>Broadstone BS1, BS2, BS3, BS4, BS4A</p> <p>This proposal will create an added layer of bureaucracy that is not necessary, not good use of money and not wanted. The Broadstone Neighbourhood Forum is sufficient to deal with local needs, and the creation of a Town Council will only serve their self interest - they are the only ones that asked for a TC, this was not a publicly accessible consultation and does not in any way represent the views of the residents - only perhaps a handful of people who may have attended a meeting at some point. Broadstone is a small area and 14 (fourteen????!!) unelected people making decisions on our behalf is frankly unacceptable. I *wholeheartedly object* to the creation of a Broadstone Town Council and believe that it would be detrimental to local residents in terms of costs, and there is no discernible benefit to having one, as there is no definition of what a TC would even do. In fact, I fail to see how a draft proposal can even exist at this stage, when nobody knows the role, powers, cost and remit of a Town Council would be yet.</p>	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F163	Broadstone	<p>Broadstone is part of the former county, town and borough of Poole. It should remain within the area of the Charter of Poole. Areas such as Waterloo and Hillbourne look towards Broadstone for many of their amenities. To spin Broadstone off will be hugely detrimental to those neighbouring areas as well as the wider Poole. One only has to look at the map to see that taking a huge bite out of Poole is totally meaningless and is simply pandering to a minority of individuals to serve there own interest.</p>	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F164	Broadstone	<p>Commenting on all. I do not believe there should be a new parish of broadstone. I think it should all remain as it is as part of BCP council as I believe this extra council will only add to residents cost because of increased bureaucracy and some services that were handled by BCP will be with them too. And our BCP council tax won't go down to compensate.</p>	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F165	Broadstone	<p>Costly to the parish with no benefits? Why would i pay for more people to be a town clerk? another beaurocrat who does nothing for me or my family, council tax is already high enough, house prices are rocketing - it's another expense</p>	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F166	Broadstone	d. I am unconvinced of the benefits that this extra level of bureaucracy, and the resulting cost, would give Broadstone residents over the current activities and services provided by BCP council.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F167	Broadstone	Disagree to another unnecessary level of Council authority the costs of which will far outweigh decisions made.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F168	Broadstone	Disagree with A and D. This is something BCP council should already be doing. We do not need yet another tier of bureaucracy at even greater cost to the community	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F169	Broadstone	Disagree, my council tax is at an almost unaffordable level already.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F170	Broadstone	d-need more information before this can be agreed f-Silly names g-too many	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F171	Broadstone	Do not understand a,b,c where is this information.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F172	Broadstone	Don't agree that a local town council and BPC should be fulfilling this role.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F173	Broadstone	For all recommendations - I don't agree with Broadstone splitting off from Poole. The people putting this proposal forward are in my opinion in 'cloud cuckoo land' about how much they can achieve with the finances they will have (without putting council tax up to a unreasonable and unliveable level) and how they will go about it. I feel it is much healthier to be overseen by a bigger body rather a few individuals who like the idea of power but don't have the capabilities or specialist knowledge to carry it through.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F174	Broadstone	I do not want another layer of local government.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F175	Broadstone	I am not convinced that there should be another level of local government. The existing BCP Council should be doing the work already. In addition there is no detailed financial information eg total income, cost per household, breakdown of anticipated expenditure and administration costs. Will there be a reduction in the BCP council tax if they no longer provide certain local services. What authority will new councilors have. How appointed and costs involved!	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F176	Broadstone	I believe this is an unnecessary additional cost and will add nothing to the area. We already have enough politicians spending our money and don't need another layer on top. The main council can adequately represent our area as they were elected to do. Creating more politicians is not going to get any more done but will add to the enormous burden of cost that we residents already have to deal with. Strongly against.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F177	Broadstone	I cannot see the point of yet more bureaucratic councils and cost	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F178	Broadstone	I completely disagree with the draft recommendations on all 9 points a - i. These plans will create confusion and add an unnecessary layer on who to contact to get a response or support. There are no benefits for locals for this to happen and focus should instead be directed to improve the current services provided rather than creating new councils. It is almost like BCP want to offload work for others to do. I object completely to these plans.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F179	Broadstone	I disagree 100% with the forming of a town or parish council for Broadstone!	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F180	Broadstone	I disagree on the proposals and don't want another level of council.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F181	Broadstone	I do disagree with the whole process, this is not for the better of Broadstone and all of its residents, this will just be a money pit for another layer of councillors meaning we pay BCP Council tax, the a further Town Council Tax on top which will have no cap, and we, the tax payer will have no say.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F182	Broadstone	I do not agree with any of the recommendations. Whilst I recognise the good work the Broadstone Neighbourhood Forum have done in deploying CIL funding within in Broadstone, I do not think the establishment of an additioanl layer of governance (and associated cost) is the right thing in the current economic context. Engagement rates in Town Council business is typically very low and I think greater effort should be made by local communities and politicians to increase engagement at the BCP level rather than creating a new level of governance. I fundamentally dont think that a new Town Council will deliver any real benefit to Broadstone residents.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F183	Broadstone	I do not agree with the proposal to form a town council in Broadstone as costs of running it are additional to what we already pay. Also Broadstone is not a town it is supposed to be a village. There nothing protecting the residents from huge increases.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F184	Broadstone	I do not believe a town council at further cost to council tax payers is justified	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F185	Broadstone	I do not understand why we need an additional level of bureaucracy in council planning etc. if we are to include this extra layer will we reduce the number of councillors and amount spent at the higher level or are these just additional costs.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F186	Broadstone	I do not want more councils, more people having meetings and more of my money being spent on them making decisions that they think is best. It is a definite NO from me.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F187	Broadstone	I do not wish to see a Parish Council for Broadstone as there will be too much wasted time on bureaucracy	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F188	Broadstone	I don't want the cost of a Town Council and struggle to see what it would do that BCP should not already be doing	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F189	Broadstone	<p>I don't think it is possible in the year/era of 2025:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. To achieve a quota of 14 councillors as most people are too busy to be involved. You might get some retirees but they may not be representative of everyone locally. 2. To achieve any agreement between the councillors, and between councillors and the local population. Due to social media, people with negative views are very outspoken and people with positive contributions back down and keep quiet. This is a very worrying situation for a local population. <p>ALSO - I don't understand why this is happening at all. The list of influences a councillor will have is very small so why complicate the current arrangements. Surely small changes at the edges would be better. To me this seems like a cheap way to offload some of the work that BCP should do. Too much change like this is unlikely to be successful.</p>	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F190	Broadstone	I don't want any Town Council. It will spend my money without any clear benefit to me. It could end up as an unnecessary talking shop.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F191	Broadstone	I don't want to be charged more council tax for services which are already provided or for others (outdoor gyms etc) which no body wants. If you want an outdoor gym just go to one of the many existing unused ones.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F192	Broadstone	<p>I feel we do not need more bureaucracy and wasting of money on things we don't need. I have friends in areas that have parish councils they say they develop into unpleasant cliques that bully anyone they view as outside their clique and don't want to toe their line. Many people end up resigning because of that We have enough trouble recruiting people to stand for election now without adding 14 more.</p> <p>It will add more money to peoples council tax bills for little return, people are already struggling with the high percentage increase year on year and at the moment you say a town council will only add 1% but we all know that will rise as central government continues to tighten purse strings. And to some people a 1% increase on top of the amount they already pay will cause even more hardship.</p>	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F193	Broadstone	<p>I have not been convinced of the need for another tier of local government. BCP was created in 2019 apparently to create savings of scale, and cohesion across the conurbation.</p> <p>Broadstone is a community based around the Broadway. There are many community groups, run by volunteers, who publicise their activities in Broadstone link. This seems to be a way to increase council tax as central government is pushing more expenditures down to local authorities eg cost of policing.</p>	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F194	Broadstone	<p>I have strongly disagreed to all questions as a parish council for our village, is nit required. It will cost money that we don't have and will simply be a power trip for a few. Please don't waste any money on this vanity project.</p>	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F195	Broadstone	<p>I pay enough council tax now I strongly disagree paying more for what we should be getting already not 14 more councillors</p>	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F196	Broadstone	<p>I pay far too much already and the establishment of unnecessary layers will just add to the burden with services being devolved.</p>	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F197	Broadstone	<p>I strongly disagree with all of the recommendations.</p> <p>How long ago was it that we reduced the number of councils Dorset? And why?</p> <p>For goodness sake leave things alone. Every reorganisation fails to meet the objectives. Every reorganisation costs the tax payers money.</p>	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F198	Broadstone	<p>I strongly disagree with all the draft recommendations.</p>	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F199	Broadstone	I strongly oppose having any additional layers of governance or administration	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F200	Broadstone	I think that all it will add is add bureaucracy and cost. The whole proposal is a waste of money.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F201	Broadstone	I truly believe this will bring zero value to the community as there is already a council in place which should cover the scope of work/residents requirements adequately	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F202	Broadstone	I understood the whole purpose of the creation of BCP unitary authority was to maximise efficiency and reduce costs. Creation of another "council" seems to be totally counter productive, creating an additional layer of bureaucracy and raising costs	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F203	Broadstone	I would not like a Town Council set up within Broadstone	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F204	Broadstone	<p>I'm against the creation of the town/parish councils for the following reasons</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - introduces unnecessary bureaucracy - lack of local support for the initiative - discussion of this is already dividing Broadstone - Broadstone is supported by a volunteers who already support many of the services - Costs to residents - not all of Broadstone is affluent - Precepts will introduce disparity in total council tax's across the BCP area driving inequality - Unlike the Council Tax there is no cap on the precept meaning overtime the disparity across the BCP area will widen - Don't we previously have town councils which were disbanded due to the cost? - Broadstone discussions are already political with existing Lib Dem councillor looking to stand as a town councillor - they will just be an expansion of the council and push political agendas and likely not independently representing the local community 	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F205	Broadstone	<p>It is clear that the very vast majority of residents don't want this. It is clearly just a way of increasing council tax. In the current climate of increased cost of living it is completely against the public mood to increase costs in this way.</p> <p>It may be true that BCP Council is doing a very poor job but I don't believe this will make things better and, by pushing ahead with this further you are alienating your residents even more.</p>	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F206	Broadstone	<p>It's an unnecessary level of beauracracy which will lead to increased costs for residents with Broadstone residents paying twice for the same services. 14 additional councillors for Broadstone, with all the additional administration costs involved is ludicrous.</p>	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F207	Broadstone	<p>It's not necessary and will simply be more bureaucracy and expense.</p>	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F208	Broadstone	Looking at the video it seems bizarre to have a seperate arrangement for Broadstone to the arrange,ment for the rest of Poole. It is an unecessary luxury...and the same goes for all the seperate parish/town councils around Christchurch. These I feel are there simply due to the reluctance of Christchurch to accept that they are part of BCP	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F209	Broadstone	More of our money wasted on this ludicrous idea! Another layer of bureaucracy. The whole thing is just ridiculous and pure greeted from the people proposing this. Money grabbing idiots that have no idea.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F210	Broadstone	My comments relate to all items in the draft recommendation. I can see no reason for a Broadstone Parish/Town Council. In recent years the separate councils of Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole were abolished and the new combined BCP Authority was established, for reasons of efficiency and effectiveness across what is a single conurbation. To reverse that positive step with the establishment of junior Town Councils would undermine efficiency and effectiveness in the conurbation, by leading to a confusion of responsibilities, both at council level and amongst the residents.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F211	Broadstone	No need for more layers of complexity What exists is fine	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F212	Broadstone	Not required and serves no purpose or benefit	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F213	Broadstone	PLEASE DO NOT ADD MORE COMPLEXITY TO THE MANAGEMENT OF THE AREA. There is zero need to add this in to the area, especially after the joining of council areas of Poole, Bournemouth etc. was MEANT TO SAVE MONEY! and yet i haven't been offered a reduction in my council bills and this will only add to something that is difficult enough to afford.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F214	Broadstone	Proposal A & D: I strongly disagree that Broadstone requires a parish/town council. It was only a short time ago that BCP council was formed, with one of the aims being reducing overall council costs and increasing efficiency. This proposal would add additional councillors, and therefore additional costs (eg council offices, councillor allowance/expenses etc). The town council would add additional bureaucracy at a local level. It would increase inefficiency and increase duplication. I believe that the aim of this proposal is too increase council tax charges within BCP through the town council levy.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F215	Broadstone	Strongly disagree with all the recommendations. There is no need for another layer of council, Poole has had its rates raised so that we are level with the other two councils in this cobbled together BCP. For the small amount that the town council would do, the present council should be able to do.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F216	Broadstone	The entire idea is nuts completely idiotic Bcp trying to get paid more money for less work raise council tax again. If only bcp was run properly still I can dream	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F217	Broadstone	The entire scheme and proposal is completely unnecessary, it adds unreasonable levels of bureaucracy and tax burden on residents and businesses.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F218	Broadstone	The last thing tax payers need is another costly layer of bureaucracy. I do not consent to the imposition of these recommendations.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F219	Broadstone	The questions are ambiguous, if I strongly disagree with the concept of a town council called Broadstone, what is the reason for me doing anything but disagreeing with the remain questions e.g name of town council, number of councillors. Surely that then implies I am agreeing with the principle	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F220	Broadstone	The recommendations are seeking to bring in unnecessary layers of governance for no benefit other than BCP Council seeking to get others to do the job that they are paid to deliver	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F221	Broadstone	There are already too many councillors and more adds confusion You are already voted in to make decisions such as above so get on with it and stop asking more people to do your jobs. There is no benefit in extra people and if it goes wrong you will still be responsible	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F222	Broadstone	There is absolutely no need to add another layer of bureaucracy when that was the idea of creating a unitary authority.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F223	Broadstone	There is already more than enough people representing. This is just a further layer of 'management' with self interest rather than for the good of all at the forefront	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F224	Broadstone	There is insufficient transparency on the value of the parish town council vs existing arrangements that will improve (or not) the quality of services provided. Without this clarity of data for a resident of Broadstone I am unable to support this proposal.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F225	Broadstone	<p>There was a check box for "Somewhat Disagree" but none titled "Strongly Disagree". I had to widen the page to fill the screen to see "Strongly Disagree" and "Don't Know". They then appeared when I shrank the screen but disappeared again when I widened it. This is RUBBISH. If the programming for the survey is this bad, how bad is the process over all?</p> <p>a)don't see the need for yet more bureaucracy or party politics ,rather than providing us with the services we believed we were going to have when we elected BCP councillors.b) , c) as I don't agree with a) I don't see the point in commenting on the boundaries or the names.. d) I have always thought of Broadstone as a village so why should it be modelled on town councils.e) previous comment on naming applies.f) can't see any benefit in splitting Broadstone into 4 wards. g) h) find it astonishing that BCP who already have too many councillors are suggesting we need even more amateurs to still not provide the services we expect. Why did you combine 3 councils into 1 in the first place. There have been too many "pet projects" which have been a total disaster and a waste of money eg park and ride at Creekmoor and Surf Reef at Boscombe. I wonder how many similar " projects" Broadstone would be subjected to if your proposal is ratified?</p>	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F226	Broadstone	<p>There will obviously be an expense to creating Broadstone Town Council together with the expense of additional councillors. It has taken years to try to integrate Bournemouth and Poole Councils into BCP (and we are not still fully integrated at the moment). How long with it take to form these new Parish/Town Councils? If the creation of Broadstone Town Council goes ahead then we will be paying two sets of council taxes. If Broadstone Town Council goes ahead will the amount that is paid to the Town Council be deducted from the BCP Council Tax Payment?</p>	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F227	Broadstone	<p>These plans will add confusion as to which council does which job. Community cohesion will be lost.</p>	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F228	Broadstone	Thin end of the wedge. The justification for more bureaucracy is that, as an affluent area, we don't get our fair share of the BCP funding and so we are asked to pay again. 'No' as things stand but would be more palatable if tax deductible for a start! Then to hear that approx 40% of funds generated would be consumed or held in reserve just suggests more inefficiency and that local politicians are looking after their best interests and not ours. Find a way that the extra local money is spent on local initiatives almost in it's entirety and attitudes may change.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F229	Broadstone	This appears to be another way of extracting money. We already pay BCP a considerable amount of money each year (in our household, by far our largest monthly outgoing). The flyer promised it would only add an extra £5 per month. However that was caveated as "in year one", and for band D homes. Even that figure of £5 per month is another £60 per year.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F230	Broadstone	This creates another layer of governance which should be done by BCP. By merging the 3 councils we are now going backwards.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F231	Broadstone	This goes against every argument that was use to justify BCP. There is no evidence for the justification for these changes. They will cost more than is currently being suggested and deliver less than promised.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F232	Broadstone	This is an extra layer of beauracracy that has very little added benefit. The plans also lack a clear financial plan with no protection against future cost increases. This is effectively a stealth tax to navigate around the 5% cap in council tax annual increases to pay for services that should already be covered with current council tax charges.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F233	Broadstone	This is just another layer of bureaucracy. It will involve additional costs on tax payers with little benefit. The costs are specified and can be arbitrary increased year on year with NO safeguards for residents. There is NO reduction specified as to BCP council tax even though they are responsible for providing the services Broadstone needs. No one should be expected to sign up to a change with no information as to what it will cost them now and in the future. We do not need another 14 councillors to administer this area and the additional support cost involved. People are already taxed to the hilt	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F234	Broadstone	This is just another layer of ineffective bureaucracy providing services that very few actually support and that quite frankly should be provided by BCP. Simply put, this is an example of a quango that is brought into being to fulfil a role that is created, just to justify its existence.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F235	Broadstone	This is just another way to increase council tax. It will create extra admin costs for the same services that are provided already by BCP council. Poole council, Bournemouth council and Christchurch council merged a few years ago to save on costs. If you now create a number of town councils you will just increase costs. We do not need another 12 councillors	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F236	Broadstone	This process is a waste of time and more important money	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F237	Broadstone	This represents another layer of bureaucracy throughout the area with additional costs. We have only just got rid of the 'original' layers of local government at considerable cost (although it was sold as a cost saving). Now you intend to set up all these additional layers. It implies the original decision was wrong - we end up paying for your mistakes!!!	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F238	Broadstone	This will come at a cost to the residents. We will pay more for the same service. Already high council tax will increase for no additional increase in services.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F239	Broadstone	Totally disagree with this whole parish/town council debacle! Yet more waste of tax payers money with a never ending rise in costs no doubt!	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F240	Broadstone	Unnessessry level of governance	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F241	Broadstone	Unnecessary layers of governance for little benefit. Increased costs for little benefit. Creating unnecessary confusion as who to contact for different issues within area. I totally oppose the parish plan	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F242	Broadstone	Waste of money. Extra coat of something that doesn't need messing around with.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F243	Broadstone	We are pensioners and are already under financial pressure from the affects of the appalling current national government. We are also most unhappy with the BCP and their inability to manage anything, just keep taking from us and providing nothing, but always ready to squander tax payers money on their own wages and advancement.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F244	Broadstone	<p>We currently live on pensions and pay BCP a lot of money each year and in return receive less and less every year.</p> <p>It seems they care little for the population and waste thousands on stupid projects including paying themselves well.</p> <p>We simply find it increasingly difficult to afford the cost of living under the current nation government, let alone this latest scheme to add another layer of administration which again will not benefit us anymore than the current status. we will find it difficult to afford it. On the face of it despite the suggested £5 a month initial cost, we all know it will escalate year on year just like the BCP , with nothing in return</p>	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F245	Broadstone	We disagree with the implementation of a Town Council for Broadstone. Broadstone is a village. Will be not be agreeing to paying an additional charge for services that should be provided (at an already huge expense to households) by BCP. Promoting the reintroduction of public toilets, for example, is an outrageous use of residents' money and will create a dangerous environment in our community. The flyer received this week is extremely biased and provides no indication of costs following year one.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F246	Broadstone	We do not need more layers of bureaucracy. We have enough councillors. They need to organise the existing council better. All the local services would be better organised under one umbrella instead of multiple people doing the same thing. We were told that Poole, Bournemouth and Christchurch needed to be amalgamated into one Council to provide better services now you're saying we need more. It's ridiculous. Will you actually listen?.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F247	Broadstone	We do NOT need another 14 people on the payroll. We simply can't afford it	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F248	Broadstone	We do not need another tier of local bureaucracy	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F249	Broadstone	We don't need another layer(s) of bureaucracy, especially at a time when government is trying to reduce red tape. Furthermore, what are the existing councillors doing as our elected representatives. This is only additional cost for negative benefit, especially given the consistently poor performance of most parish and town councils.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F250	Broadstone	We don't need more councils which would cost more money in local taxes	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F251	Broadstone	We don't need another layer of bureaucracy, with all the added costs this entails. I also fundamentally disagree with the idea that the amount people pay and the annual increase has no limit. That is open to abuse and wasting money. Having worked as a public servant for 30 years, I'm fully aware how politics and vanity projects in this area have already cost us.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F252	Broadstone	We don't need or want a parish council. Bcp need to get on with the job in hand not add more councillors that never listen.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F253	Broadstone	We have a local forum which does most of the suggest task likely to be done by a town council.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F254	Broadstone	why are we spending money and time on things that will bring no or little benefit. I do not want to be paying for the same service twice. My councillors of BCP should be doing their job rather than getting in more people to 'do' the same job. We are in a cost of living crisis I cannot afford more money to go towards the council, I need it to actually live and survive in this county. Just leave things alone that work and stop spending money on new proposals!	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F255	Broadstone	Why create another layer of councillors - more cost for no benefit. Confusion will occur as to which council is responsible for which bit. More bureacracy. Why not improve own services rather than creating another layer. I have recently moved into the area and 3 months later still waiting for my council tax bill. How inefficient is this!!	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F256	Broadstone	Why have this? Only to take more money off people, another bunch of never has beens trying to act all big as if they know what they're doing. The incompetent bunches that have run the main council are unworthy. Let's face Clarendon Road only got resurfaced, if you can call it that, because the MP lives on there	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F257	Broadstone	Will result in an increase in council tax for services which should be provided bu BCP	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F258	Broadstone	a to g. I am band F, so what would we pay. This community tax should be an individual tax, not a house tax	Don't know/not sure	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F259	Broadstone	a) I don't think there is enough information as to what the new councillors will actually do and what they would cost. For example are they just advisory to the BCP council or do they have a real say in BCP council decisions. Do they have a budget to spend? It is not clear to me why Broadstone would be considered different from the other parts of Poole although I do quite like it.	Neither agree nor disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F260	Broadstone	BCP's focus is not on suburbs such as Broadstone. I believe a Broadstone Town Council would firmly work in the interests of Broadstone.	Neither agree nor disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F261	Broadstone	D) Added bureaucracy. Unknown cost. Unknown control of increase in costs. No published scale of costs. No legal restriction/cap on increasing costs. Unpublished remuneration of councillors	Neither agree nor disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F262	Broadstone	D. I think the concept of a town council is a good one, especially for Broadstone BUT without a cap on future precept increase I cannot support the creation of town council.	Neither agree nor disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F263	Broadstone	I cannot understand why there needs to be 14 people and why Broadstone needs to be divided into four areas. Surely there is going to be duplication in terms of admin and general tasks. One team only is needed. We have local MPs to deal with the more serious matters. The local areas are going to be repititons across all four areas	Neither agree nor disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F264	Broadstone	I don't feel I have enough information about the exact powers this new parish council will have or how much it will cost. Exactly which decisions will this council be responsible for?	Neither agree nor disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F265	Broadstone	I think the names could be better clump!!	Neither agree nor disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F266	Broadstone	This is madness and will cost the taxpayer a fortune so we will have councillors for BCP and Broadstone why ? you say it will cost £5 in the first year but you are very careful not to say how much it we cost in the 2nd and beyond don't you think the council tax is already expensive enough for most people just stop at looking at ways to spend our money.	Neither agree nor disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH17 7
F267	Broadstone	abolish council		Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6
F268	Broadstone	<p>As with all areas, in trying to work out the cost verses return element here. I don't find a satisfactory improvement.</p> <p>When separated Poole and Christchurch both had successful councils, Bournemouth not so much, I've seen nothing but a down turn in service and value for money since the 3 were combined, now to create the spare parishes and town councils seems fine IF we didn't them have to also pay for BCP, this exercise of creating separate versions is going to provide extra funding to those behind BCP council at the cost of the residence. And the quantities involved are huge for the an area like Broadstone, I haven't looked at the others but now I'm worried to do so.</p> <p>Where will the money come from to pay for all those extra staff, where will they work, who pays for that.</p> <p>What is the vision for the area that BCP area, does this decision really reflect it.</p> <p>Is the best idea to 'employ a load more people to do our job' the more people the more compromises the worse the outcome.</p>		Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F269	Broadstone	I think this should be expanded to include Merley as well as Broadstone	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Kinson	BH11 8
F270	Broadstone	<p>(a) Strongly agree – Broadstone has a clear and vibrant local identity, I know the area well as i have family who live there. A town council provides the formal mechanism to consolidate and extend this community leadership.</p> <p>(b) Agree – The use of polling districts BS1–BS4 and BS4-A as a basis for the new parish ensures coterminous electoral and administrative boundaries, simplifying governance and elections.</p> <p>(c & f) Strongly agree – The name 'Broadstone' is widely recognised and embedded in the identity of the area. The branding of the new local council should be clear, simple, and consistent with community sentiment.</p> <p>(d & e) Agree – The style of 'town' is appropriate given the size, history, and profile of the Broadstone area. A town council structure supports appropriate civic functions and community-facing services.</p> <p>(g, h & i) Agree – The proposed warding and seat distribution achieve excellent electoral equality (all variances within +/-10%) and respect the neighbourhood structure of the area. The four-ward system allows for nuanced local representation.</p>	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Commons	BH23 2
F271	Broadstone	<p>B) I believe that Broadstone should be expanded to include Waterloo. Waterloo is currently classed as Creekmoor and part of Poole however it feels more closely related to Broadstone, especially considering the fact that it is within the Broadstone way and clearly divided from Creekmoor.</p> <p>G) I don't see the need to split it into four areas. Perhaps North and South (of the Broadway) would be logical instead but even then I think it isn't needed. I also don't think the names are a good reflection of each area and the name 'clump' I don't think is very flattering.</p>	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F272	Broadstone	a - Broadstone has always had its own identity.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
F273	Broadstone	b - there was a document produced with the area at the bottom of York Road shown as being a consultation area and possibly being part of Broadstone. Also, Waterloo Estate, a large relatively densely packed population area are major users of the Broadstone facilities and should be consulted/included. h - Golf Ward only requires 2 councillors due to the numbers involved	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH18 8
F274	Broadstone	b Council should cover larger area e.g. Creekmoor, Canford Heath & Merley	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 2
F275	Broadstone	Broadstone has its own identity and should be able to make its own decisions for its residents in so far as the amenities, and as consultees for planning etc.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
F276	Broadstone	G and H. This number of councillors is excessive and these changes are going to cost residents thousands in additional council tax.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
F277	Broadstone	Just that Broadstone needs a town council	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
F278	Broadstone	a-h) Broadstone needs local input into maintaining roads, hedges and footpaths which is lacking at the moment	Agree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F279	Broadstone	a-h) I strongly agree because our area needs to change. We are in our 80s and have actually cut back hedges and grass that is growing over onto the pavement, even though we have reported work that needs to be done to BCP. I think we are all entitled to use the footpaths and not have to step onto the road to access our home. These problems have only escalated under BCP. I welcome local input into decisions and maintenance affecting Broadstone.	Agree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
F280	Broadstone	G - the more the merrier!	Agree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
F281	Broadstone	Without clear information on the power and responsibilities of such a council [unknown] are in the level and in common with such consultations insufficient information on which to make a sensible judgement.	Agree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
F282	Broadstone	I agree with all the draft recommendations. Broadstone is a very clearly defined community in it's own right, on the edge of BCP. It is excellently placed to establish a new Town Council which would be of great benefit to all it's residents. The proposal is well judged in terms of the potential TC's size and ambition to serve it's community. A Town Council would enhance the standard of the community in terms of democratic representation, advocating for planning applications and creating new and exciting projects to put Broadstone on the "map" in the future.	Agree	Respondent from outside BCP	respondent living outside proposal area	Corfe Mullen	BH21 3
F283	Broadstone	With just 14 councillors there is no need for any of them to be anything other than Broadstone TC Councillors. No need for Wards.	Agree	Respondent from outside BCP	respondent living outside proposal area	Corfe Mullen	BH18 9
F284	Broadstone	I am happy for the existing parish councils to continue but disagree with the creation of any further parish councils being created within the BCP Area. The whole point of having a unitary authority was to have economies of scale with fewer staff and less costs. Why spend more money on a local government tier that has very limited power? It's just another layer of bureaucracy and cost.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH5 1

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F285	Broadstone	I am opposed to the introduction of Parish Councils in principle. Parish Councils will exacerbate the older unbearable inequality in the UK and in the BCP conurbation.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH1 4
F286	Broadstone	Parish councils are damaging for BCP council, enabling greater fragmentation, inequality between areas, corruption and prevention the integrated transformation that the area needs.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH1 4
F287	Broadstone	Poole should have a single parish council covering the exact boundaries of the former Poole Borough Council.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH5 1
F288	Broadstone	a) and d) I don't believe we need an extra layer of admin and bureaucracy. I don't see a benefit to residents in establishing parish councils but I do see that there will be additional costs to residents, and BCP councillors will be less accountable for maintaining the separate local areas, and will pass the buck to parish councils for issues it finds too expensive or too difficult to deal with. What was the point in amalgamating the town councils into BCP if only to create a new sub-layer of councillors? I thought the larger council was meant to benefit from economies of scale, better purchasing power and reduced admin and bureaucracy. The creation of parish councils undermines the arguments for the creation of BCP.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6
F289	Broadstone	a) to h) (all) I strongly disagree with the need for parish councils when we already have an amalgamated Council	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 7
F290	Broadstone	a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h We have a Town Council which has council representatives for each area. We do not need more levels of administration but for existing Councillors to do their job and represent their ward to the Council with diligence and hard work on behalf of their electors.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F291	Broadstone	An un-necessary expence	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH9 2
F292	Broadstone	As Broadstone is already a parish, it does not need to be established	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH8 8
F293	Broadstone	Do not need parish councils	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Winton East	BH9 1
F294	Broadstone	Have not read anything to suggest benefit of parish councils of any kind in current financial environment.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 9
F295	Broadstone	Having gone through the merger of Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole councils, I don't think we need the extra cost and bureaucracy of new Town/Parish Councils.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH10 4
F296	Broadstone	I disagree with all of the above statements. It is an increase in bureaucracy that is not needed.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH10 4
F297	Broadstone	I disagree with the need for parish and town councils	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH9 1

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F298	Broadstone	I do not agree that any area requires a parish council. I believe that all functions should be carried out by BCP council. Anything more is an unnecessary complication and a waste of money. How are people supposed to know who does what? How can you ensure that the various parish councils act with any consistency?	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Westbourne & West Cliff	BH4 8
F299	Broadstone	More councils in this area represent unnecessary bureaucracy and are a waste of money.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH9 1
F300	Broadstone	Nothing wrong with it as it is	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Kinson	BH11 9
F301	Broadstone	Our area doesn't need another level of bureaucracy	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH9 1
F302	Broadstone	Parish Councils generally attract Narcissists and mini Hitlers, who love the power and control, this position gives them in the community. Control over decisions and people that live in these areas. Procedure and following the system is everything, the end result is irrelevant. They have fragile egos and hate criticism. They are in control and you little people must go through me first, in fact, this whole community is under my influence and control.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Bournemouth Central	BH1 1
F303	Broadstone	Re a and d - the parish council should not be created. It is a waste of tax payers money and adds unnecessary bureaucracy. Public funds are right enough and we should be reducing this bureaucracy not increasing it. I do not think the areas that do have these already get good value for the extra money they pay.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH9 2
F304	Broadstone	The bureaucracy and cost of additional layers of local government should be avoided wherever possible.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F305	Broadstone	The Lib Lem mafia have swamped Broadstone no need to pamper to their ego trip. The area is well served within BCP.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH8 0
F306	Broadstone	This is an absurdly small area to have its own authority and an inordinate amount of councillors, duplication of duties and inevitable double taxation.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 8
F307	Broadstone	we have enough council governance as a tri borough council (BCP) and do not need another level of governance.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH6 5
F308	Broadstone	Why change for change's sake?? Too many councillors	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
F309	Broadstone	A-h) Council tax should be reduced and services improved	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 1
F310	Broadstone	a - disagree with whole concept, extra cost and bureaucracy	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 0
F311	Broadstone	'a' - I don't see why there has to be a separate town council for Broadstone. I couldn't find any justification for this move, or a assessment of any negative impacts in might have on the rest of Poole. 'b' to 'h' - my answers to these questions are as a result of my answer to 'a'.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F312	Broadstone	A drain on public funding and no substance offered for improved services. Disgusting proposal and massive waste of resources. Secutary of state has been emailed on the fiasco.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 7
F313	Broadstone	a I do not think Broadstone which is a suburb of Poole should be made into a town in its own right. d It is part of Poole and should remain part of the larger town. There is no need to create a new parish. e strongly disagree. There is no need to create another layer of bureaucracy. g there is no need to elect more councillors. This is an unnecessary expense for residents.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH21 1
F314	Broadstone	a) Disagree with an extra tier of Local Government having had reorgansiations in 1997 and 2019. b) Extra tiers of Authority results in additional bureaucracy, duplication and cost. c) This leads to residents effectively paying twice for the same services d) Changes should involve a whole authority referendum not rely on Councillor decisions e) The total cost of local government can be expected to be higher in authorities with the additional tier of Town/Parish Councils f) Having sought to delayer local authority provision in 1997 and 2019 within BCP localities, for economy and efficiency reasons, the logical approach is to delayer not create additional layers of local authority g) Inconsistent and wasteful to create an additional tier of administration for Broadstone and not neighbouring areas	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
F315	Broadstone	a) do not think we need another layer of bureaucracy b) Broadstone is lovely as it is please leave it alone c) d) e) f) g) h) all of the above I disagree with we just need one good council to represent all residents.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
F316	Broadstone	a) I am fundamentally opposed to the additional layer of bureaucracy that is being proposed, particularly as you are unable to quantify the additional cost that would be passed on to BCP residents. Council costs continue to rise whilst service delivery is declining. Please can the Council quantify the projected savings with formation of BCP Council and what savings have been achieved?	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F317	Broadstone	a) I somewhat disagree because if they're going to create a Poole Town Council, Broadstone should be part of it and not it's own entity.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
F318	Broadstone	a) we were not allowed a vote when BCP merged and this was forced upon us. I cannot see why they need 14 councillors and living on a fisc income I pay enough council tax (5% extra each year) and do not want to pay more for what? We already have councillors representing Broadstone.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH18 8
F319	Broadstone	'a', 'd' More layers, more bureaucracy, more delays to implementing any initiative. Why create BCP to remove duplication just to devolve responsibility?	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH12 5
F320	Broadstone	a,b,c,d and any other. Another layer of bureaucracy is not required in the BCP area. There is no rush to make a decision today or next year. If any party has reason to believe Community Governance is needed that subject can be part of the manifesto at the next local elections in May 2027.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 8
F321	Broadstone	a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h There is no need for further levels of administration. You haven't shown how this would benefit the local population or BCP as a whole. It just seems to be a way of diverting work from the existing council, making their lives easier at the expense of everyone else. The number of councillors proposed is way to many. We need a breakdown of cost benefits before something like this is proposed	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
F322	Broadstone	a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h, Do not need another level of bureaucracy with associated costs to to community/residents	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
F323	Broadstone	a. You are unable or more likely unwilling to provide any idea of costs to the council tax payers, as such you should not push this plan forward. I do not trust the current administration of BCP to fully fund any areas of responsibility that they divest to these local councils.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH21 1

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F324	Broadstone	a/b/c I strongly disagree that Broadstone should have its own Council	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
F325	Broadstone	a: lower border line is uneven. Suggest that a straight line along Sopers Lane or Cabot Lane would be a more logical dividing line. g & h: Feel that having a town council within the existing borough of BCP is creating another layer of unnecessary and probably expensive bureaucracy.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
F326	Broadstone	Abolish all parish councils across BCP, and certainly do not set up any new ones. Stop wasting council tax funding on additional levels of governance that is not required. What tangible value for money to they actually provide, zero. BCP has a number of problems (poor road infrastructure/congestion, roads full of pot holes, a huge homeless and drug/alcohol abuse problem, not enough public services [schools, hospitals, GPs, NHS dentists] for all the new housing estates that are being built) and BCP council what to use council tax funding which could be directed to those issues, to set up Parish Councils who would more likely be made up of old, straight, white, able bodied men, who do not reflect the diversity of the area. BCP have almost bankrupted themselves, implemented ill thought out Green policies/legislation, so we don't need more of the same.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 8
F327	Broadstone	Abolish this council	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4
F328	Broadstone	a-h) All proposals are logical, proportionate and fair and meet the requirements of democratic representation, BUT Broadstone should be part of an overall Poole Town Council, not a separately designated town council	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
F329	Broadstone	a-h) 1) increase in bureaucracy 2) increase in taxation. Levels at public responding totally grotesque already - we do not need more. [unknown] and function already supplied by BCP. We need fewer politicians and public officials, not more	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F330	Broadstone	a-h) all of the above we already have 76 councillors. Surely that should be more than enough to get the job done. Totally unnecessary in my opinion.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
F331	Broadstone	All - it is wrong for there to be any additional councils / councillors in any region. The current councillors of BCP have confidently put themselves forward to be voted in to run the local area based on the situation as it currently is / was, so now is the time to step up and put their money where your mouth is and solve the problems without causing more for the local residents. It would be highly inappropriate for this council to move the goalposts half way through their (massively underwhelming) term and create new entities. It's clear that given the appalling behaviour of the controlling alliance, that the move would purely be a vehicle to hack off the services that cannot currently be 'afforded' and all of these white elephants would be passed on to the new 'councils' at which point BCP would claim that they are in a great place whilst the new councils would be failing. I also have no doubt that the councillors and in particular the leader of BCP would constantly throw mud at the new entities and blame them for the inevitable shortcomings. The divisive nature of the Liberal Democrats in particular as well as the rest of the Three Towns Alliance means that nothing positive is ever achieved as they are too busy shirking accountability and blaming everybody else for their many failings. Any new council would also have the partisan issues that plague BCP Council and it isn't right at all.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
F332	Broadstone	All . We do not need another layer of council run interference into our affairs. We already pay far too much council tax and adding another layer for no good reason is tantamount to lunacy. BCP is enough.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
F333	Broadstone	All these parishes will add yet further costs in local tax which over stretched tax payers will have to pick up. Need to be cutting admin costs in current economic climate not adding to them.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F334	Broadstone	All, feel it is unnecessary layers for zero benefit	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
F335	Broadstone	All. Creates general confusion as to who is responsible for all services. Does not help community cohesion. Improve your own performance before creating additional beaurocracy.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
F336	Broadstone	Another layer of bureaucracy makes it harder to get things done. Another bu ch of people voted in with no experience, shouting about how they are going to change the world, taking staff time away from things that already need doing. More in community nastiness. More Council bashing and apparent failings. Things dragging back and forth at a micro level between differing views. Rest of the BCP area not within a parish left as the poor relation. Only thise with the energy to shout are listened to and profess to represent the majority. It doesn't take away from the fact that there aren't e ough Council staff nor maintenance budget funding. It just bri us in another layer of unrealistic, poorly managed expectations that stops the jobs getting done.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH21 1
F337	Broadstone	Another layer of expense... BCP can do this.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
F338	Broadstone	Another level of bureaucracy. We went to a unitary authority to save money, we went to the three town councils. Stop wasting our money!	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F339	Broadstone	As a retired parish clerk of over 23 years service I believe I have a very knowledgeable background to respond. Parish Councils are an excellent way for providing local services in a community however the proposal published just seems a way to increase council tax in an underhand way. It is not long since the merger of the 3 councils or the disolvment of district councils all of which was sold to us as being more efficient and a cost saving measure. This reorganisation cost huge sums and now you wish to go back bringing in more levels of government. I do not dispute that a parish or town council would perhaps give more localised and direct benefits but giving allowances to councillors, paying for more staff, accommodation etc is increasing costs which will ultimately increase our council tax with little reward to the tax payer. As for the additional costs associated with electing a mayor this seem to be a complete waste of money.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH18 8
F340	Broadstone	BCP fought to gain unilateral control over all areas within the Borough, to add another layer of governance will lead to indecision, time wasting and disappointment with the whole process. BCP would ultimately be cast as the culprit of this and face the consequences for this, come the next election	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH21 1
F341	Broadstone	Believe everyone in Broadstone (including my elderly parents) pay enough Council tax and there should be no devolution. BCP council wastes money on cycle lanes, causing ridiculous traffic build up while failing to keep the existing roads in a decent state of repair. Sort out your priorities. This town is dying.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
F342	Broadstone	Bournemouth council took over Poole and Christchurch councils to supposidly save money because Bournemouth council was mismanaged financially. Hundreds of thousands was wasted on redundancies, consultations and re-hiring. Now BCP wants to add more councillors back into the mix at the expense of tax payers. Stick with one plan please and stop wasting money.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
F343	Broadstone	Broadstone does not need a parish council.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F344	Broadstone	Broadstone is already fully represented by an elected member on the BCP Council so additional levels of authority is not required.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
F345	Broadstone	Broadstone is part of Poole and shouldn't be separated	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH14 8
F346	Broadstone	Broadstone is part of the BCP council area. BCP was formed by the amalgamation of other councils. It is utterly pointless to amalgamate councils and then create subsidiary councils.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9
F347	Broadstone	Broadstone should be included in Poole town	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
F348	Broadstone	Broadstone should not have a town council. It is no more distinct than any other area in poole. Vikky slade should not be allowed her own little kingdom.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2
F349	Broadstone	Broadstone was part of the Borough of Poole and should remain a ward of this entity. It is not a separate parish and is currently covered by the Poole Charter trustees as represented by its BCP ward councillors.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F350	Broadstone	Comments apply to all recommendations. This whole plan is just adding another layer of needless local government, BCP council (which no one in Poole wanted anyway) was meant to bring in efficiencies and save money by merging the services of the three councils so why are you now adding another layer and adding more cost on to local council tax payers? The number of councillors recommended is also absolutely ridiculous, this really stinks of 'jobs for the boys', getting your mates that weren't elected to BCP Council a nice cushy position at the local tax payers expense. Strongly object to this plan!	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
F351	Broadstone	DISAGREE	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
F352	Broadstone	Do not need another layer of bureaucracy	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2
F353	Broadstone	Do not see a benefit just another tax	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
F354	Broadstone	How much is this going to cost the tax payers? Why is this necessary? What help would the government give these town councils if established?	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
F355	Broadstone	I am perplexed as to why Broadstone, with an electorate of around 8,000 according to the data provided, has been deemed to require the establishment of a Town, Council with 14 councillors, while the rest of the Poole area, with a combined electorate in excess of 100,000, is 'lumped together' into just one Town council. This does not seem representative of the Poole area, and appears to give some preferential treatment of the Broadstone area. No explanation is provided for why this area of Poole requires its own Town Council.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH21 1

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F356	Broadstone	I beleive that our council tax along with other tax is too much as it is, we do not need to spend more of our income on another wasted council. BCP is a joke and we dont need another bunch if clowns getting payed to do nothing for the people!	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
F357	Broadstone	I believe Broadstone will not benefit from the new changes. This is why I disagree.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
F358	Broadstone	I disagree with all of these as I don't agree with Broadstone being made into a Town Council on its own. If town councils were to go ahead then Broadstone should be a part of Poole. If it is allowed to be on its own then all the wards of Poole and Bournemouth should also be separate.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F359	Broadstone	<p>I disagree with all Parish and Town councils as I feel it is yet another level of bureaucratic people using money that should be spent on the people and not on a empire building load of people. I have seen what has happened around Dorset. First you had a small parish council that would just sit in a small room and make decisions about the area.</p> <p>Then as time has gone on we have town council's in villages employing large amounts of people. Town clerks earning far to much money (£50.000.00 to £70.000.00 per year) and far to many people doing nothing for money, that could be spent on the villages and areas. Not very far up the road in Corfe Mullen their wages per year are approx. £287.700.00 for a population of 10.250 people and that is only the wages. Then you have a large office to run, vehicles, to run, lap tops to buy for councillors all there expenses they take. It is endless I have seen 3 people in a van arriving at a places to cut a few weeds. This is what I call a total waste of Council Tax payers money and this goes on all around Dorset it is a huge amount of money that is a total waste. The council tax payers have to pay extra on their council tax and I certainly do not wish to pay this. So glad when I moved out of Dorset area and did not have to pay it on my council tax. Adopt a system like they have in France They have a certain amount of money to spend in each area. They have suggestions put forward of how to spend the Money then the people who live in the area vote on each suggestions and it goes down in order. A small amount of people deal with this not large amount of people sat in offices especially built for purpose plenty of area's they could rent small offices. (Not empire building) Far to much money spent of bureaucracy.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
F360	Broadstone	<p>I disagree with the continuation of current parish and town councils within BCP Council and I disagree with the establishment of any new parish or town councils in BCP. All services and democratic processes should be done through BCP Council and no Council tax precepts should be put in place.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 4
F361	Broadstone	<p>I disagree with the proposals mainly as it will involve more costs for residents when council tax and household bills are already crippling families - we cannot afford more outgoing that aren't necessary</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F362	Broadstone	I do not agree that we should have any town/parish councils. If BCP it too large, revert to 3 separate councils agin.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8
F363	Broadstone	I do not believe that adding in extra layers of cost is beneficialt to the community	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 2
F364	Broadstone	I do not understand why Broadstone is the only “parish” within the historic Borough of Poole to have its own council, whilst the rest of Poole is left as Poole town. It is my belief that either all of Poole should be one town council, or each area is permitted it's own parish council.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 8
F365	Broadstone	I don not want an extra layer of bureaucracy or another layer if taxation	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH18 8
F366	Broadstone	I don't see any need for this 'layer' 'bureaucracy' and cost to be added. It seems that Broadstone is being treated separately to the rest of Poole.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
F367	Broadstone	I don't think we need another council, we already have bcp	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
F368	Broadstone	I really do not see the benefit of having an extra tier of councils. The decision was taken to simplify governance for Bournemouth, Poole and Christchurch and combine them into BCP. This has now been done, and I have not seen any arguments to suggest that it would be more efficient or provide better services if an additional tier of governance was to be established. On the contrary, I would expect that there would be additional costs and the risk of disputes between the "town" councils and the overall BCP council.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F369	Broadstone	I strongly disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone because it is not needed and would give extra levels of bureaucracy and red tape !!	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3
F370	Broadstone	I Think that the Broadstone and Poole areas should be combined into a single area	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2
F371	Broadstone	I was under the impression that creating the BCP council was to reduce the overheads in local government. Introducing a new town council would seem to be an increase in costs and involve additional council tax for residents. At a time when council tax is at an all time high but public services are declining in the BCP area, this doesn't seem an appropriate move.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
F372	Broadstone	It is clear from the number of people voting in existing council elections that any Parish Councillors could not gender enough votes to properly represent residents in this area. An added level of bureaucracy is both wasteful of recourses and will add to delays in decision making.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4
F373	Broadstone	It is totally unnecessary	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH21 1
F374	Broadstone	It's an unnecessary beaurocracy and waste of money	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH13 7
F375	Broadstone	It's not right to alter the boundaries of the historic Borough of Poole. Broadstone has 'always' been a part of POole and there is absolutley no reason to decide that it shold be atown on its own.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F376	Broadstone	Leave alone cut cost is your priority	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
F377	Broadstone	Leave it as it was	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH21 1
F378	Broadstone	Let's not waste money	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
F379	Broadstone	No need for four areas in Broadstone, meaning significant additional costs. One town council would be enough, but only if NCP relinquish many responsibilities, and provide grants to run the council. No additional costs should be paid by residents.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
F380	Broadstone	Parish councils are an unnecessary level of governance as the services they will provide are already covered by BCP. If we need Parish councils to have our community listened to, then maybe BCP should be disbanded instead and go back to individual town councils.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4
F381	Broadstone	Parish councils are superfluous	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9
F382	Broadstone	The area does not need another level of government. Wasted tax payers money, when in a time if economic crisis. Use the money for better child and social services. The money wasted on all these proposals is wrong. Never should have happened.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F383	Broadstone	the area should not be singled out as a separate area - to be treated as other areas not a parish	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
F384	Broadstone	The benefit of Bournemouth, Poole and Christchurch merging into one body is to reduce costs and bureaucracy. The benefit of the old Broadstone parish merging into the original Poole Borough was to reduce costs and bureaucracy. Re-creating a Broadstone parish will just duplicate administration and result in tax payers paying more council tax.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 8
F385	Broadstone	The council are battling a shortfall in funds at the moment and yet it wants to introduce up to 110 more councillors across the BCP area. This will only lead to more money coming out of the pockets of the council tax payers as well as extra cost to them. I strongly oppose the introduction of wards where I see no benefit and more cost.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
F386	Broadstone	The draft recommendation fail to explain any benefit whatsoever to the residents, workers or visitors to the area, just duplication and additional bureaucracy.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2
F387	Broadstone	The whole idea of establishing parish councils is ridiculous and unnecessary. It will increase costs with no benefit. Decisions would be short term at best, particularly planning ones which would be overturned on appeal, especially as a parish council could not afford to fight the appeal. This is clearly an idea to let more people feel that they have some power, when in reality they have none.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F388	Broadstone	<p>There is insufficient information to be able to make an informed decision on any of these draft recommendations. There is no indication of what services will be provided via the new parish/town councils. There is no indication of the total costs involved in setting up these parishes/councils, nor of the level of precept, nor of the possibility of precepts being increased well above the capped level of council tax. There is no indication of the need for a clerk to the parish/town council, nor of the salary that this would incur. Whilst the expectation is that parish/town councillors will be appointed on a voluntary basis, there is no means of preventing them from voting to make salary payments out of the precept.</p> <p>Divisions into parish/town councils across the conurbation is likely to increase differences in levels of provision.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH15 3
F389	Broadstone	There is no need for a separate Broadstone town council	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
F390	Broadstone	There is no need for any town or parish councils in the BCP area. This is a ridiculous idea in a time when funds are short and the costs will be passed on to already cash strapped households. WE DO NOT WANT THIS pushed on the people living in BCP who have not voted for it.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
F391	Broadstone	There is no special needs for another layer of governance.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
F392	Broadstone	<p>This is an absolute farce!! Why do we have to pay more???? There is a cost of living crisis and this is poor at very best!! DO NOT start parishes and start sorting BCP out and start providing a positive impact. You are alienating the residents when they don't want all of these cycle lanes..... they want better infrastructure to actually drive around, get a cheap bus or use an affordable train.</p> <p>What a joke!!!</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F393	Broadstone	unnecessary unwanted vanity project	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Parkstone	BH15 2
F394	Broadstone	Waste of money no reason to gave parish council	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8
F395	Broadstone	Waste of money. Another layer. If bcp doesn't work, revert back to 3 towns separately	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8
F396	Broadstone	Waste of time and tax payers money	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3
F397	Broadstone	Waste of time, work should be covered by BCP	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4
F398	Broadstone	We do not need another layer if council to ge paid for bybus but where wf xannot gaveca say in where the mkney is spent	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
F399	Broadstone	We do not require a town council.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F400	Broadstone	We have a council already, I see no need for another one	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
F401	Broadstone	We need a more efficient and competent council, not rebranding that will increase spending but not improved anything.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH21 3
F402	Broadstone	We should not be adding extra layers of governance and bureaucracy. However, if we are going to have a town council for Poole then it should include Broadstone. Also, if Broadstone is to be parished because it has a Neighbourhood Forum, then the same should apply elsewhere in Poole.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F403	Broadstone	<p>(a) This is just turning a BCP Council Ward into a Parish. This delivers little except to the selected Electorate ratio.</p> <p>(b) Little regard has been made to identify the actual area of Broadstone to call it the Parish of Broadstone. No reference to maps, Royal Mail, or the Land as registered.</p> <p>(c) Establishing a BCP Council Ward as a Parish and mapping as such would show indifference to those left out.</p> <p>(d) It is presuming that someone wants to be Mayor. It should be a Parish Council until resolved by the Council itself.</p> <p>(e) as (d).</p> <p>(f) Four Wards is excessive in a BCP One Ward area with 2 Councillors who would represent 99%+ of delivered services.</p> <p>(g) 14 Councillors would be excessive against (f).</p> <p>(h) 7 Councillors over 3 Wards with simple names North (2), East (2), West (3) Broadstone would suffice.</p> <p>The Ward names - Clump is slang for Clout, Golf advertises a commercial operation, Recreation has a meaning in itself - not as an area, Spring - it has a spring? - but not as an area.</p>	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
F404	Broadstone	a) in my dictionary parish means a district or area served by its own church and priest or minister! How does this quate to community governance? Just another level of administration	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
F405	Broadstone	a) It an unnecessary level of beauracy and an informal council parish council could be created under the existing charter system	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F406	Broadstone	a-h) I do not agree we need a Town Council for Broadstone village. The majority of the services, projects and community events are the responsibility of our ELECTED council with current voluntary organisations covering the rest. We do not need more BUREACRACY	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
F407	Broadstone	a-h) I strongly disagree that a small village (Broadstone) should need a 'town' council or a parish. BCP is covered by the elected council. More levels of bureaucracy are not needed or required. Please keep it simple.	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
F408	Broadstone	I am against a further layer of administration, seeing it as unnecessary and a burden on the resources of the council. As a resident, council ta is too high, and thus anything that increases this should be avoided. We need to reduce council tax, not increase it!	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
F409	Broadstone	This is being proposed and pushed by friends of councilors and local MPs.	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F410	Broadstone	<p>This whole thing is wrong!</p> <p>I do not agree there should be a second council tax just for the sake of the new parish and town councils</p> <p>There have been no costings whatsoever as to how much this will cost so how can anyone agree when no information given on exactly 1) what the new town and parish councils will do and 2) how much tax with NO ceiling increase will cost.</p> <p>The timing of this consultation is so wrong costing in excess of £100k when money could have been better spent.</p> <p>I strongly believe it's just a matter of creating 'jobs for the boys' . . . Or should I say girls in this instance!</p> <p>This survey and completing it is so very onerous. That too is disgusting and it's obviously relying on people to not bother giving their views as it's too difficult</p> <p>DISAGREE</p>	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
F411	Broadstone	<p>a, d</p> <p>I disagree. Town and Parish councils should be removed as they add an unnecessary layer of councils for no added benefit.</p> <p>Equivalent roles and clear departmental structures already exist under BCP so these should be given the full remit to improve their own services, with existing precept payments being absorbed into these departmental budgets.</p> <p>Ward councillors already provide the representation to ensure community views and cohesion are considered in council decisions.</p>	Disagree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 6

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F412	Broadstone	<p>Adding the excessive number of local parish councillors across the BCP area and within just the Broadstone area will simply add horrendous costs to the council tax, it also adds additional layers of bureaucracy which will add to delays and complexity to getting decisions made.</p> <p>BCP should be looking to simplify structure, if local councillors are to be introduced then cuts should be made to the BCP council staff and structure to cover the additional costs, the additional costs should not be passed on through council tax payments.</p> <p>It is also my view that employing 14 councillors for a small area is also excessive, it is clear that BCP are simply not in control of there expenditure and this will lead to cuts in other areas. If the plans are to be introduced there should only be 1 (one) councillor per ward. If BCP were being run as a business you would not employ this number of people to carry out the same role, this clearly shows that BCP have not got a clue how to manage a council.</p>	Disagree	Respondent from outside BCP	respondent living outside proposal area	Corfe Mullen	BH18 9
F413	Broadstone	what is the point of this proposal - why is it needed? No argument of substance provided in my view	Disagree	Respondent from outside BCP	respondent living outside proposal area	Corfe Mullen	BH18 9
F414	Broadstone	a to h. If there hasn't been a Parish Council for this area why do we need one now? Another tier of confusion. BCP Council should be enough.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
F415	Broadstone	a,b,c Broadstone should not have a new parish council - there should not be new councils set up at extra cost, where there would be no benefit & possible increase in council tax	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
F416	Broadstone	<p>a,b,c,d,e,f :- The creation of parish councils is adding another layer of bureaucracy to the unitary authority of BCP which the bringing together of the 3 councils said it would avoid.</p> <p>We have local councillors that are elected to represent the views of the area they are elected too, and therefore this additional layer will add authority to those who are elected to represent our areas to the large unitary authority.</p>	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F417	Broadstone	Parish Council NOT needed.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
F418	Broadstone	Parish councils are an unnecessary administrative tier. They result in additional costs - without value for money - for council tax payers.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
F419	Broadstone	Unnecessary layer of bureaucracy. Too few members of the electorate required to elect a councillor therefore undemocratic.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
F420	Broadstone	We do NOT need more councillors in the BCP area! The existing councillors should be trained to do a better job & not waste our taxes! They should control their funds instead of going into huge debt & asking schools to subsidise their debt. The system needs to be simplified NOT further complicated. Further councillors means more expensive council tax for NO PURPOSEFUL REASON- just more people doing a bad job. This is an unnecessary cost for zero benefit.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 3
F421	Broadstone	f) I don't like the names 'clump' g) how will we pay for 14 more councillors? How will these be elected? Why do we need this when we already have BCP? h) due to not agreeing with g	Don't know/not sure	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
F422	Broadstone	The problem with the Draft recommendations are their incredible inconsistency. Why should any comparably sized area be treated differently? Such a situation is inherently unfair and undemocratic. An argument might be made for treating 'rural' areas slightly differently if any can be reasonably defined within BCP but this seems unlikely.	Neither agree nor disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH9 3
F423	Broadstone	14 counsellors for a town this size is nuts.	Neither agree nor disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7

Q33 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Broadstone

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F424	Broadstone	Currently it looks as if the Broadstone boundary has been created out of 'snobbery' or to meet the desires of Vicky Slade. To get a more balanced social diversity it should therefore include creekmore or be part of poole overall.	Neither agree nor disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
F425	Broadstone	e) Broadstone isn't a town and has never been considered one, but is an area within the town of Poole. It wouldn't make sense for it to be called Broadstone Town Council and should instead be styled as a Community or Parish Council. f) The map puts part of Northbrook road and York road in Clump ward, despite it being completely separated from the rest of it by Broadstone Way. This area should instead be part of Recreation ward, with the boundary following Broadstone Way	Neither agree nor disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 8
F426	Broadstone	F. The names of the wards are not indicative of the actual areas. Better names are needed.	Neither agree nor disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH18 8
F427	Broadstone	Gdo we need so many councillors	Neither agree nor disagree	Respondent from outside BCP	respondent living outside proposal area	Corfe Mullen	BH21 3
F428	Broadstone	It is not for Electoral Services to comment on these questions	Neither agree nor disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4

Q34 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Broadstone?

Q34 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Broadstone?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F429	Broadstone	A lot of the 'benefits' a town council are alleged to bring to Broadstone are already taking place yearly such as Xmas Parade, Fun Day, new play park etc - what happens to the volunteer groups who already undertake these tasks?	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F430	Broadstone	Agree 0 need a council to support bus services and the needs of elderly users in local area ie. shelters and seats.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F431	Broadstone	<p>Broadstone has always stood apart from the rest of Poole. it is its own post-town and regardless of whether Poole or Bournemouth decide to parish I would like to see Broadstone determine itself.</p> <p>It would be important that Broadstone's share of the Charter Trustee balance (by population) is transferred to Broadstone Town Council which could then be used for our own civic development.</p> <p>I also feel that BCP Council should allocate funds, or support grant funding for costs as a town council will require a clerk and access to offices and until a precept is being collected this would not be possible.</p>	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F432	Broadstone	Broadstone has neighbourhood forum and neighbourhood plan which would be taken over by the parish/town council, which would also have responsibility for managing C.I.L. The boundary of the neighbourhood area is continuous with the [unknown] ward boundary and would be [unknown] the parish/town council boundary. This should not change.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F433	Broadstone	busses need from Broadstone to Bournemouth Hospital	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F434	Broadstone	Cant think of any at moment	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8

Q34 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Broadstone?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F435	Broadstone	How do we control the level of the precept? How do we know that BCP won't withdraw from funding certain activities because the town council will pick it up and we end up no better off?	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F436	Broadstone	How much will it cost?	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F437	Broadstone	I am in strong favour of the Broadstone Town Council submission as we are a large enough area with a unique identity within the current Poole structure Our current Broadstone Neighbourhood Forum work very hard in our area and I would like to see their endeavours continue with a Broadstone focused Town Council My family and are are active within the Broadstone community and enjoy the varied activities in our village and would like to see these continue for out future generations to enjoy	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F438	Broadstone	I am not a fan of the name 'clump' ward, it has negative connotations. I also think 14 councillors is too many and too ambitious.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F439	Broadstone	I have always felt that Broadstone has been seen to be isolated from the main decision making processes within the BCP. This proposal is more of a step towards addressing this lack of real involvement.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F440	Broadstone	I hope we are being told the truth	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F441	Broadstone	I like to see Broadstone smart and clean. The verges mowed regularly, pavements and roads cleared of debris and dog mess, also the children's play area in the recreation ground needs some new groundwork as the ground under the swings and slides is treacherous when wet or icy	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8

Q34 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Broadstone?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F442	Broadstone	I rather like the names of the four proposed parish wards!	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F443	Broadstone	I would definitely like to see a mix of ages and genders. I would not feel very well represented if the council was exclusively made up of white retired people.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F444	Broadstone	I would like to see more power given to Broadstone users themselves.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F445	Broadstone	I would like to see this happen, as ever since the hostile take over of the borough of Poole by Bournemouth that was not wanted by the residents of the town, everything seems to be Bournemouth centric, and as a Poole resident I feel we have been forgotten about. The merger should never have been allowed to happen and if this is a way we can have some autonomy then I am all for it.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F446	Broadstone	I would prefer for the wards to be more equal and therefore have an equal number of councillors for each ward - mainly for the reason of fairness, the current proposal means south Broadstone will have a majority over north Broadstone and I'm not particularly comfortable with that.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F447	Broadstone	If this Broadstone Town Council does not go ahead as a stand alone council, I would NOT agree to it being merged with the much larger Poole Town Council, I think that proposed council is already too large and diverse. I don't believe they will be able to achieve much as the infighting will be decisive and unproductive	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F448	Broadstone	I'm looking forward to hearing the outcome and hope that the recommendations are allowed to proceed.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F449	Broadstone	It needs to be a clear principle of the parish council that BCP council be constantly reminded of those items BCP are being paid for by the resident, which BCP should be doing, thus reducing the future Broadstone Council of the need and us the cost.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9

Q34 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Broadstone?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F450	Broadstone	<p>It would be good to ensure that the new council starts off with clear goals and responsibilities so residents know what to expect from day one. A phased introduction of responsibilities might help it find its feet without being overwhelmed.</p> <p>Also, strong communication is vital—many residents still don't know what a town council actually does. There should be a clear plan to inform, engage, and involve local people throughout the setup process and beyond.</p>	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F451	Broadstone	It's a good idea - I support more autonomy for the local governance.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F452	Broadstone	Locally elected councillors can address issues such as parking and the need for traffic control in the form of a roundabout or traffic lights at the junction of Beechbank Ave and Broadstone Way	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F453	Broadstone	<p>maybe have more say in the type of shops available in the parade, really getting very boring with all the nail bars and Turkish Barbers that have taken over. We use to have many diverse shops which proved a welcome shopping area. When BCP was created I could see immediately that Poole and surrounding areas would become the poor relations. Please keep the beautiful Town Hall exactly what it was built for. Bournemouth Town Hall is a mess and not fit for purpose</p>	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH21 3
F454	Broadstone	More local decision making should be achievable within the existing framework without introducing extra layers of governance and extra costs. A private company would never do this.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F455	Broadstone	NA	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F456	Broadstone	Need to see changes with this council - toilets reopen, better parking charges, reinvigoration of shops and surrounding area to attract better variety in shops and restrictions on similar shops (no more hair and beauty, charity shops, pharmacies) bring in hospitality.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8

Q34 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Broadstone?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F457	Broadstone	No	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F458	Broadstone	No	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F459	Broadstone	No, this all seems good to me	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F460	Broadstone	No.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F461	Broadstone	Note my observations re: transfer of services and precept funding.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F462	Broadstone	Our local Councillors are trying to sell this to the local population by suggesting such things as we could have our public toilets reopened, I'm not sure anyone would risk using them, additionally our councillor Sidaway is very rude and vociferous in Facebook supported in his rudeness by his wife who I think oversteps the mark. He has also been very rude to Jude Butt and I think his manner needs addressing.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F463	Broadstone	Recommendation b: Consideration should be given to including the Waterloo area in the Broadstone Town Council	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8

Q34 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Broadstone?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F464	Broadstone	Reduce the number of councilors in each area by 1, 4 less overall helping to control costs. If services that are transferred from BCP control to the Town Council a proportion of the budget should be transferred also. Full transparency of budgets and annual audited accounts including detailed breakdown of departmental costs and councilor expenses.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F465	Broadstone	Reducecouncillors to 8.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F466	Broadstone	The councillors should not be elected by the undemocratic and widely-discredited first-past-the post system, but by a proportional electoral system such as STV, to ensure that those elected genuinely reflect the views of the people of Broadstone.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F467	Broadstone	They are essential for Broadstone in the future.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F468	Broadstone	This costly bureaucratic structure is not needed.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F469	Broadstone	This is a very good idea.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F470	Broadstone	Totally unnecessary. BCP do not listen to the residents views at present so I cannot believe that this extra layer will make any difference.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F471	Broadstone	We do not want it.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9

Q34 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Broadstone?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F472	Broadstone	We have been living in Broadstone for more than 30 years moving here with my parents in the late 1980s We really enjoy the varied community activities that are held in Broadstone. They form strong community bonds and it's something that I would very much like to carry on. I understand the pressures the larger BCP council are under in terms of funding and feel a local community town council is the best solution in order for the residence of Broadstone to maintain the community spirit we currently benefit from.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F473	Broadstone	You really need to address the traffic problem as the 20mph speed limit does not work especially along the Ridgeway where I live. There needs to be a road calming scheme as it is quite dangerous	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F474	Broadstone	A Broadstone town council would not benefit council tax payers,only themselves.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F475	Broadstone	A waste of money and should not go ahead.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F476	Broadstone	A whole load of trash. God help us all. This does not have my backing and I will stand in court not to pay for more incompetence	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F477	Broadstone	Absolutely no to the formation of the new Town Council. Before this can be approved, it should go to an official vote in the respective Town council Areas.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F478	Broadstone	Add more officers who are recruited for the skills and abilities, not their ability to ignore constituents.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9

Q34 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Broadstone?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F479	Broadstone	A-H The proposals only create unnecessary layers and more importantly takes away the current unified identity of Broadstone. We do not need separate councillors we need one voice which I believe we have. Additional layers and people only increases confusion of who to talk to and potentially may create inequalities as this may create stronger voices in some areas than others depending on who are appointed. Leaving it as it is ensures we are all identifying and have one Broadstone voice. I would rather see our current BCP councillors get the resources and support they need to engage more with us and improve our local services rather than create another layer.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F480	Broadstone	As above	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F481	Broadstone	As per 11 but residents do not want an additional unregulated layer of vague costs, that have the potential to creep up to cover the services that we might expect from the council tax we already pay for ie a stealth tax that we'll not see any benefit from	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F482	Broadstone	At a time when the cost of living crisis is affecting people, increasing council tax to pay more for some newer Christmas lights is ridiculous.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F483	Broadstone	BCP is already full of overpaid, overhyped con artists who pose as councillors, have no clue of the real world, con the residents with their council tax charges. How about the council start doing something regarding crimes, road quality, car park charges instead of hacking off loyal, hard working residents.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F484	Broadstone	BPC is already horrendously in debt from poor decisions, creating another layer of bureaucracy will only add to it.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F485	Broadstone	Broadstone has been portrayed to residents and visitors alike as a 'village'. Now it wants to be a town! It will never be a town but it will remain a suburb of Poole and that is how it should stay.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8

Q34 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Broadstone?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F486	Broadstone	Council taxes area already high this whole exercise is a waste of my money	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F487	Broadstone	Cut the grass Clean the streets	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F488	Broadstone	Decision making should be for the good of the area and its residents not the political lead from out of touch Westminster bases	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F489	Broadstone	Disband BCP and revert back to the older system of separate councils for Poole Christchurch and Bournemouth. The economies of scale promised for BCP have not happened and this is the consequence. No wonder BCO is known as the rotten borough.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F490	Broadstone	DO NOT DO THIS	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F491	Broadstone	Don't bother implementing this and get the exciting councillors to do their job.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F492	Broadstone	Don't do it.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F493	Broadstone	Drop this ridiculous idea and get on with doing what you were elected for. Trim the fat from council, not increase the bureaucracy, administrative burden and costly set up	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F494	Broadstone	Existing BCP structure do its job	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8

Q34 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Broadstone?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F495	Broadstone	For all recommendations - I don't agree with Broadstone splitting off from Poole. The people putting this proposal forward are in my opinion in 'cloud cuckoo land' about how much they can achieve with the finances they will have (without putting council tax up to a unreasonable and unliveable level) and how they will go about it. I feel it is much healthier to be overseen by a bigger body rather a few individuals who like the idea of power but don't have the capabilities or specialist knowledge to carry it through.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F496	Broadstone	Happy as it is.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F497	Broadstone	Happy with current structure.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F498	Broadstone	I am not convinced the town council is required as I feel that the issue the town council is trying to fill in terms of community cohesion/ volunteers etc will exist with or without the town council. People have less free time so finding candidates will be difficult and therefore I fear many elections will be uncontested and therefore the town council won't be truly representative. I also don't feel the true costs are being shared - BCP recharges for town council elections so the £5 per household figure being quoted will practically be usurped purely through paying for the election.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F499	Broadstone	I appreciate the Councillors from BCP have made it as awkward as possible for the older 'non-digital' population to have their say because they, like me, have no doubt got frazzled by the awkwardness of digital life.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH17 7
F500	Broadstone	I believe the elected councillors are doing their best to serve broadstone residents, and already aware of residents needs/wishes.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8

Q34 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Broadstone?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F501	Broadstone	I believe these changes are being forced on us by vocal, political locals under a disguise of non political for the residents. It's offering services that should be covered by BCP and does not take into account any locals who are struggling financially. It is just BCP passing more costs onto the residents for no extra benefit and with no legal restrictions on the future costs.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F502	Broadstone	I do not understand why Broadstone has been separated from Poole? We currently pay a council tax precept for Poole, but were told there was no money to fund a VE Day in Poole; a town that during WW2 built ships for the Royal Navy and was a key part of D Day embarkations and mulberry harbour. The display in the Dolphin Centre was again delivered by volunteers and a charity not BCP.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F503	Broadstone	I do not want an extra layer of bureaucracy and addition costs	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F504	Broadstone	I don't think the consultation has been circulated in a manner to inform and capture all residents, I have only seen any information on social media and as a result attended a couple of face to face presentations. These were attended by the same few people. I'm unaware of an option to engage elderly people not on social media or housebound unable to access the main Broadstone retail area, no easy read option, and even accessing the survey requires a level of competence in IT literacy. Also representations via social media have been one sided making it a venture only for the brave to risk voicing an opinion in opposition. The consultation hasn't come across as democratic in any sense of the word.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F505	Broadstone	I don't like the name Clump.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F506	Broadstone	I feel the funding for Broadstone should come from funds already paid in the council tax paid to BCP	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8

Q34 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Broadstone?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F507	Broadstone	I hope we as Broadstone residents get a vote on this	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F508	Broadstone	I like the Village of Broadstone as it is. Not sure adding another Council would be of any benefit and would only cost the residents extra money.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F509	Broadstone	I note that one option being considered is a Poole Town Council, but Broadstone is omitted from the list of its Wards. It is not clear what would happen to Broadstone if that option is chosen as a result of feedback from the residents of other areas. We were part of the old Poole Council.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F510	Broadstone	I oppose the proposed parish council plan. Increased red tape, too many extra 'councillors', increased expense for too little gain. Not in the public interest.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F511	Broadstone	I pay £272 per month to live in Broadstone. Why should I pay more? I don't get much for my Council Charge as it is. Just so a handful of local people can be elected to be a Town Council. Absolute nonsense. Take us back to belonging to Poole Town council, have nothing to do with Bournemouth and that's fine, I'll vote for that. But not for a small district of Poole to be called a Town Council.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F512	Broadstone	I strongly oppose having any additional layers of governance or administration	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F513	Broadstone	I won't be paying for it.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F514	Broadstone	I would like BCP to do the work we already pay for, like maintaining the roads and ensuring the work that is carried has been completed to a national standard	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9

Q34 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Broadstone?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F515	Broadstone	I would like Broadstone to remain as it is, no one in charge and allow the community to do their own thing like they do now. The wonderful volunteers look after Broadstone and we know who to go to ie. BCP, local Councilor or MP if we have a problem. You are now making it 4 layers of councillors by adding Town Councilors. Oh not to forget A Mayor to finance too. Why make it more difficult with extra layers and extra finances. BCP in my opinion needs to take a look at how they can improve their outgoing finances, I suggest an Independent Financial Buisness IT Consultant Team would help tremendously.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F516	Broadstone	I would like the idea scraped	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F517	Broadstone	I would like to see Broadstone as a more inclusive area, it is full of people who think they are better than others. If they happen to be on the BH18 side of things.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH17 7
F518	Broadstone	I would like to see some common sense in local government. I have worked with parish and town councillors for many years and they are superfluous to requirements, most of them revelling in their new found importance and titles. NO!!	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F519	Broadstone	I would like to see the draft recommendations for Broadstone abolished as I regard there is no essential need for a Broadstone parish or Broadstone Town Council.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH17 7
F520	Broadstone	I would like to see the proposal held back until such time as there is enough information about what the role, powers, cost and remit of a Town Council would be, so that informed choices could be made. I would like the decision on whether to create a town council to be made by way of voting, so that the decision is democratic. I would like the 'councillor' role to be entirely unpaid, with no entitlement to expenses or allowances so as not to create an additional cost burden to residents, being as they are already paying councillors to do this work in BCP.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9

Q34 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Broadstone?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F521	Broadstone	I would like to see well managed services by BCP for the benefit of the population of BCP as a whole.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F522	Broadstone	I would say that the way this process has been conducted is very poor, to only be made aware of such a significant change to the governance of the area at this final stage suggests the council are not actually interested in what the residents actually wish to happen. This process has been conducted behind closed doors and it is wrong that only now are you engaging with the people that are actually effected. I would suggest that the first order of business should have been an initial consultation with the residents to understand as to whether this change was actually supported. It appears Broadstone Neighbourhood Forum have made the initial recommendations, but again there was no consultation with the residents as to whether this was supported. I suspect that the objection lodged by the two residents that found out about the proposals will be typical of the feeling of the other residents, if anyone have taken the trouble to ask the opinion of those effected.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F523	Broadstone	If the elected councilors cannot represent Broadstone adequately they should resign to allow somebody to be on the council that can.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F524	Broadstone	If you go ahead with this don't expect to be re-elected for the next term. Reform who are committed to reducing costs and unnecessary bureaucracy will win every seat.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F525	Broadstone	Instead of establishing Parish/Town Councils, BCP Council should concentrate on continuing to rationalize its services across the whole BCP Council area.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F526	Broadstone	It doesn't feel like anyone wants to listen to the survey and this has already been decided. You are treating the residents with contempt.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9

Q34 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Broadstone?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F527	Broadstone	It seems like another way of forcing us to pay more money which we find difficult to afford, and we see no more benefit than the little we receive now	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F528	Broadstone	It should not proceed	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F529	Broadstone	It should only go ahead if over 50% if the electorate agree	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F530	Broadstone	It's unnecessary	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F531	Broadstone	I've said it already, but it's worth saying again - I do not consent to the imposition of these recommendations.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F532	Broadstone	Just don't do it.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F533	Broadstone	Keep Broadstone within the Town of Poole	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F534	Broadstone	Keep things as they are	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F535	Broadstone	Leave it alone	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8

Q34 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Broadstone?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F536	Broadstone	Maybe current services conducted by bcp council be improved upon.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F537	Broadstone	No	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F538	Broadstone	No additional 20mph streets in Broadstone. You are already causing traffic chaos by bad planning for road usage ie down grading A &E at Poole hospital fills the Wimborne by pass with more ambulances and police cars	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F539	Broadstone	No change required.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F540	Broadstone	No changes as the almagamation of the three councils has been a disaster in handling finances!	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F541	Broadstone	No changes needed. It works well as it is.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F542	Broadstone	No go ahead no required	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F543	Broadstone	None	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F544	Broadstone	Not required	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9

Q34 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Broadstone?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F545	Broadstone	Not required , BCP do a more than satisfactory job of running the village of Broadstone .	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F546	Broadstone	Our existing councillors should do the job they were elected for and not try to abdicate their responsibility to another bunch of like minded enthusiastic spenders of other people's money	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F547	Broadstone	Please don't add extra financial burden to tax payers without assessing if this work cannot be done within the existing governing arrangement	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F548	Broadstone	Please keep the Council simple. Or revert to the Poole, Bournemouth and Christchurch Councils, which worked efficiently with local representation.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F549	Broadstone	Proposal G & H: Should the proposal go ahead, the number of councillors must be reduced, Broadstone as a ward currently has 2 councillors as part of BCP, the number proposed is exponentially increased without any just reason.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F550	Broadstone	Put in prospectus for next BCP elections and let the people speak.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F551	Broadstone	Rather than creating new town councils to do the job they should be doing anyway, I believe that BCP should be concentrating on improving their own services. Further layers will just add confusion over which council does which job. Please keep things simple and give BCP a chance to improve efficiency and services that we need.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F552	Broadstone	Rather than having 14 councillors why don't we just have one as normal and ensure that they do not have a dual role as there is clearly a conflict of interest if one of the councillors is an MP. If there are more councillors there is likely to be confusion and more people to actually have to agree together to get anything done. There will be no benefit if this plan is approved.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9

Q34 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Broadstone?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F553	Broadstone	Scrap the idea	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F554	Broadstone	Scrap the idea!	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F555	Broadstone	Scrap them!!	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F556	Broadstone	See above.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F557	Broadstone	Should not have a Parish Council. All the proposals should already be carried out by BCP	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F558	Broadstone	Small changes at the edges of the current arrangements would be better.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F559	Broadstone	Stop fiddling about	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F560	Broadstone	Stop lying to people about the fact this will cost us money where we will be forced to give money for services which will be exactly the same.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F561	Broadstone	Stop this farce now - you can't even run a council let alone adding another layer into the mix and don't even get me started on the lack of respect for people's opinions and views and the sheer scale of monies wasted throughout the conurbation just to fill your own agendas and beliefs!	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9

Q34 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Broadstone?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F562	Broadstone	Stop waisting time and money when you never listen anyway.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F563	Broadstone	Stop wasting money	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F564	Broadstone	The addition of further levels of local management and operations defeat the objects of the original amalgamation of the three councils into BCP Council. The recommendation proposal should be the reinstatement of the three individual Councils instead of creating more bureaucratic and cumbersome levels into a system that already needs reform.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F565	Broadstone	<p>The draft recommendations do not make a sufficiently strong case to persuade me that the formation of town councils is necessary only a few years after BCP was established in the pursuit of efficiency. The draft recommendations do not include relevant details regarding the responsibilities and services of each council and will lead to a wide variation, complexity and additional bureaucracy in delivery of services across the conurbation. It is unclear how much this will cost as it specifically says it is not possible to provide an indication as the majority services are discretionary (5.7).</p> <p>Based on 4.3 I am also concerned that this is an irreversible decision and once established it will not be possible to dissolve the town councils.</p> <p>I am also concerned that there has not been enough publicity regarding the draft recommendations. Speaking to neighbours, most were unaware of the proposal unless active on community social media groups and had no demand for additional layers of local government.</p>	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F566	Broadstone	The entire scheme and proposal is completely unnecessary, it adds unreasonable levels of bureacracy and tax burden on residents and businesses.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9

Q34 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Broadstone?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F567	Broadstone	The flyer that came through the door mentions specifically Christmas Parade and Remembrance Parade. These events have run for many years successfully without a Town Council.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F568	Broadstone	The important criteria for making this decision are first the likely effect on services and secondly how the cost will change (precepts and council tax). No information is available on either of these criteria so my only option is to reject the proposal .	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F569	Broadstone	The only changes I would like to see.Bring back PBC and let the residents of Poole have a good local council	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F570	Broadstone	The residents of Broadstone have a contract with BCP and in exchange for paying our council tax the council is required to provide services. I understand from our 2 elected councillors that the provision of some of these services will be transferred to the town council. Instead of ensuring that we get what we pay for they happily agree to the proposals	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F571	Broadstone	The survey starts with a question that asks should we do it and all further questions assumes that we answer as if it's a done deal.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F572	Broadstone	The three councils should never have been merged; we are three totally different demographics and it has not been to the advantage of the residents. If changes are to be made then give us back Poole Borough Council.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F573	Broadstone	The title and other arrangemnets are satisfactory should the Parish Council go ahead	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F574	Broadstone	The wording of this survey is not conducive to open feedback. There is an inbuilt assumption that the recommendations will be accepted.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8

Q34 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Broadstone?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F575	Broadstone	There is no need to add something in, that isn't currently needed and will add another layer of management or processes that doesn't reduce the need to spend more on people and waste time, otherwise there would have been no point in merging the 3 councils in the first place to reduce the complexity and costs.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F576	Broadstone	there seems to be enough confusion about who does what without adding to it	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F577	Broadstone	They appear uncoded as presented here.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F578	Broadstone	They should be shelved, if I am reading the report correctly you only had 3 responses and 2 of those negative so I can't understand why you are even doing this stage, gross waste of money and avoidance of what BCP council should be concentrating on.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F579	Broadstone	This is a cynical ploy to increase council tax, Unless the existing councillors elected to represent the ward are giving up their roles.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F580	Broadstone	This is not the right time to introduce another level of town council as the extra cost to me and my family . delay the proposal till 2027 and then only if the economic situation has improved	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F581	Broadstone	This should be carried out by the councillors on BCP	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F582	Broadstone	This will add Congo to the broadstone public around who is responsible for what. BCO should mange the work more efficiently and improve their own services	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F583	Broadstone	This will detract from the single point of contact for issues to be resolved and add confusion to who is responsible for what which will inevitably lead to inaction as different organisations will point to each other for responsibility to deliver	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9

Q34 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Broadstone?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F584	Broadstone	This would be a duplication of what BCP council is already responsible for, and what our current councillors are being paid for.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F585	Broadstone	Totally unnecessary for 12 councillors to be required to represent less than 9000 people on purely local issues.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F586	Broadstone	Town councils have very limited powers, therefore the return on investment in terms of actual services delivered under a Town Council would be minimal. It would be far more cost effective for BCP to improve their own services. I am totally against the above proposal.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F587	Broadstone	Unnecessary	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F588	Broadstone	Unnecessary waste of time and money. There is no demand for a council at this level (see turn out for BCP council elections of less than 40%). It will add to council tax (in the form of a precept) to pay for councillors, premises, auditing and bureaucracy and give residents nothing that they don't already have except a degree of confusion as to who is responsible for the services which are failing to be delivered. BCP will no longer have to fund some services, having "devolved" responsibility, the new councils will then charge again for delivering these same services. Until they decide to cut them because they can't afford them.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F589	Broadstone	Use Wimborne as an example. Riven by petty politics (even though it is not supposed to be political) and totally ineffective. Not to say corrupt. Just look at the cemetery farce.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F590	Broadstone	while all this is being debated I hope that BCP will get on with the job they were elected for.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8

Q34 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Broadstone?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F591	Broadstone	Who organises and pays for another election of more councillors? This is just for the benefit of those who although well meaning like to direct the running of the parish area.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F592	Broadstone	Will we get a reduction in our council tax I think not so what will the shambles that is BCP be doing with our council tax?	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F593	Broadstone	Yes stop wasting tax pays money with stupid scams like this If bcp can promise that no council tax revenue will fall in to their grubby hands I would be all for it.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F594	Broadstone	You should do better with the existing structure not invent a new one to fail	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F595	Broadstone	As above	Don't know/not sure	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F596	Broadstone	I would like to see new town councillors have a say on highway maintenance as I find many of the BCP decisions odd.	Neither agree nor disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F597	Broadstone	I would support creation of a Town council (even though we effectively be paying twice for services) if it was clear what the precept would be and there was some sort of cap placed on future increases.	Neither agree nor disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F598	Broadstone	Lack of published material of proposal.. General ignorance of the effects, costs, powers	Neither agree nor disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
F599	Broadstone	Leave it as it is	Neither agree nor disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH17 7

Q34 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Broadstone?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F600	Broadstone	The idea is great, however BCP are offering less services for more money. We are now being asked to pay another tax to just cover the shortfall	Neither agree nor disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F601	Broadstone	Too many councillors	Neither agree nor disagree	Broadstone	respondent living in proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
F602	Broadstone	<p>Broadstone is well-placed to benefit from formal parish-level governance. Local residents already demonstrate high levels of civic pride and participation—through community groups, events, and neighbourhood planning. A town council can act as a unifying and coordinating body to expand this impact, particularly in managing local amenities, green spaces, and minor infrastructure.</p> <p>It would be beneficial if BCP Council supports a robust transition period—offering advisory and logistical support to the emerging council. This will help ensure early successes and avoid common pitfalls seen when new councils lack institutional knowledge or clarity on delegated powers.</p> <p>The newly formed council should also prioritise transparency and inclusivity in its early stages to ensure buy-in from all sections of the community - I recent leaflet has guaranteed that no allowance will be paid to Councillors and this can not be promised at this stage.</p>	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Commons	BH23 2
F603	Broadstone	it's a con	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
F604	Broadstone	Will the 'Town' council have any real powers supported by proper funding or just a talking shop that adds another layer of bureaucracy that has to be paid for.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH18 8

Q34 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Broadstone?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F605	Broadstone	I would like to see any changes that enhance the appearance of Broadstone. Since BCP took over it is looking uncared for and 'seedy' in places. Hopefully Broadstone town council would have the authority to intervene when private residential gardens encroach into public spaces.	Agree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
F606	Broadstone	not at this point	Agree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
F607	Broadstone	A proposal like this is long overdue for Broadstone. It does not sit in the centre of an urban conurbation in BCP, and therefore misses out on some of the targeted projects in Poole or Bournemouth. A TC would allow the community flexibility and be an instrument of community diversity and imagination.	Agree	Respondent from outside BCP	respondent living outside proposal area	Corfe Mullen	BH21 3
F608	Broadstone	No	Agree	Respondent from outside BCP	respondent living outside proposal area	Corfe Mullen	BH18 9
F609	Broadstone	I am opposed to the introduction of Parish Councils in principle. Parish Councils will exacerbate the older unbearable inequality in the UK and in the BCP conurbation.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH1 4
F610	Broadstone	No.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH5 1
F611	Broadstone	Parish councils are damaging for BCP council, enabling greater fragmentation, inequality between areas, corruption and prevention the integrated transformation that the area needs.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH1 4
F612	Broadstone	Broadstone is part of Poole and as such BCP.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH10 4

Q34 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Broadstone?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F613	Broadstone	Broadstone should not have a separate parish council.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3
F614	Broadstone	Do not need parish councils	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Winton East	BH9 1
F615	Broadstone	Don't do it.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Bournemouth Central	BH1 1
F616	Broadstone	Don't waste money on another tier of administration that will just be a Lib Lem ego talking shop/	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH8 0
F617	Broadstone	No	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3
F618	Broadstone	No	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
F619	Broadstone	Over the [unknown] I have lived in Broadstone, the community has developed naturally. As well as the rich covering of interest groups. An active residents association has cared for aspects of village life eg oversight of planning applications, liaison with local chamber of commerce group, educational development. The two ward councillors are a backstop for emergencies. Two proposals do nothing to improve our quality of life, which had developed organically and is good and without interfering [regulation?]	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH8 8
F620	Broadstone	People are trying to circumvent the elected authority to suit their own politics	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH9 1

Q34 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Broadstone?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F621	Broadstone	We do not need a parish council as well as BCP. It will be costly as well as unnecessary and certain matters will get missed between the two.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 7
F622	Broadstone	We need either parish councils or BCP, not both.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6
F623	Broadstone	Why waste public money	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Kinson	BH11 9
F624	Broadstone	Council tax should be reduced and services improved	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 1
F625	Broadstone	A drain on public funding and no substance offered for improved services. Disgusting proposal and massive waste of resources. Secutary of state has been emailed on the fiasco.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 7
F626	Broadstone	A total waste of money	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
F627	Broadstone	Abolish all parish councils across BCP, and certainly do not set up any new ones. Stop wasting council tax funding on additional levels of governance that is not required. What tangible value for money to they actually provide, zero. BCP has a number of problems (poor road infrastructure/congestion, roads full of pot holes, a huge homeless and drug/alcohol abuse problem, not enough public services [schools, hospitals, GPs, NHS dentists] for all the new housing estates that are being built) and BCP council what to use council tax funding which could be directed to those issues, to set up Parish Councils who would more likely be made up of old, straight, white, able bodied men, who do not reflect the diversity of the area. BCP have almost bankrupted themselves, implemented ill thought out Green policies/legislation, so we don't need more of the same.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 8

Q34 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Broadstone?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F628	Broadstone	Absolutely ludicrous situation and one that will cost us taxpayers an extortionate amount of money on top of what we already can ill afford.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
F629	Broadstone	Again relating to the southern border line. I live near Darby's Corner, and it seems to me that Broadstone is attempting to exclude 'riff raff' from Hillborne and surrounding areas. For many people living below the southern border line, the Broadstone shops etc are nearer than those at Creekmoor.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
F630	Broadstone	All. Creates general confusion as to who is responsible for all services. Does not help community cohesion. Improve your own performance before creating additional beaurocracy.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
F631	Broadstone	As above.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
F632	Broadstone	As above. The costs of this far outweighs any benefits oh which there are none.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
F633	Broadstone	BCP are required to manage their area, that's who I pay my council tax to.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH18 8
F634	Broadstone	BCP unitary authority, amalgamating Poole, Christchurch and Bournemouth, was supposed to improve transparency, costs and bureaucracy. Creating parish/town councils will be adding another level of unnecessary bureaucracy and cost with no tangible benefit and worse accountability. BCP has just appointed a new CEO; consideration should be given to allowing this person to attempt to improve the current running of the unitary authority before any changes are implemented. No decisions should be made before 2027 and then only following a referendum.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH15 3

Q34 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Broadstone?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F635	Broadstone	Broadstone has been a part of Poole since at least 1950 and I (and others) would like to see the continued integration of Broadstone into new a Poole Town council that comprises the whole of the pre-2019 Borough of Poole.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
F636	Broadstone	Broadstone has for a long time decided it is a superior part of Poole and this isolation of them from the rest of Poole will only underline this more.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 8
F637	Broadstone	Broadstone is no more a separate community than several other areas in Poole.It should either be included as part of Poole Town or other areas should have separate parish councils.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
F638	Broadstone	Broadstone should remain either a ward of a reformed Poole council (independent of BCP) or a ward of BCP council and not be separated.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
F639	Broadstone	Confirmation of the costs involved. How can this be proposed without?	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
F640	Broadstone	Disagree with the proposal for reasons given above. Would not want the creation of an additional tier in some parts of BCP that complicates provision, creates inconsistency and can be expected to lead to extra avoidable costs. A whole BCP referendum should apply if this concept is pursued before a final decision is taken	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
F641	Broadstone	Do not see what is to be gained from a parish council	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
F642	Broadstone	Don't waste are hard earned money	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8

Q34 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Broadstone?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F643	Broadstone	Even if there's a strong preference to establish a town/parish council, Broadstone should not be separate.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH13 7
F644	Broadstone	I believe the local residence should be made to vote on this big change	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
F645	Broadstone	I disagree with the continuation of current parish and town councils within BCP Council and I disagree with the establishment of any new parish or town councils in BCP. All services and democratic processes should be done through BCP Council and no Council tax precepts should be put in place.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 4
F646	Broadstone	I recommend the plans be delayed until after the next election in 2027 and all decisions should be taken with a democratic vote and not just with a consultation which the council generally ignores the results of.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
F647	Broadstone	If a "town" council is created for Broadstone, I think the parish should be named Broadstone. However, the 4 ward names seem entirely frivolous - I am sure there could be better names.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
F648	Broadstone	If you do go ahead with this stupid plan reduce the number of councillors, there is simply no need for the numbers that you are proposing!	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
F649	Broadstone	In no way does the planned map cover Broadstone.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
F650	Broadstone	It is an unnecessary cost and just adds another layer of Bureaucracy that we don't need	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4
F651	Broadstone	It will increase council tax and achieve nothing	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH21 1

Q34 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Broadstone?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F652	Broadstone	<p>Leave things as they are as despite the hollow words from BCP council, this is clearly going to end up costing residents money that simply cannot be afforded in a cost of living crisis. If the current incumbents are unable to work within the constraints as they currently exist, then they should resign en masse and make way for competent people who can. This is nothing but a transparent vehicle to screw the local residents. You say that there will not be any additional cost, but that is a blatant lie. You will bring in a precept to begin with and that will go up and up and up year on year , totally unchecked and the people of the BCP region will be the ones to pay for it. It's unacceptable that you would do something like this without a referendum (which you clearly won't as you already know what the outcome would be and you don't like listening to people who disagree with you). BCP Council is a repugnant organisation, badly run by incompetent councillors with no honesty, integrity or decency. You are an embarrassment to the local people and you should be totally and utterly ashamed of yourselves (but I doubt you have the decency to feel that way either). Shame on you all.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
F653	Broadstone	Local trading associations and residential groups can help guide future needs under the direction of BCP, they would be better suited to the people of Broadstone and any other area being considered for administrative restructuring	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH21 1
F654	Broadstone	No	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8
F655	Broadstone	Not needed	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2
F656	Broadstone	Please get rid of the whole plan	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4

Q34 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Broadstone?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F657	Broadstone	Scrap it. If you want to reform something, scrap BCP council and put the borough councils back.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9
F658	Broadstone	Should definitely NOT be proceeded with. see comments above.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
F659	Broadstone	Stop wasting public money	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
F660	Broadstone	<p>The boundaries of "Poole" and "Broadstone" councils are clear – however the town will be disadvantaged and overwhelmed, if the whole of the town is 1x parish – when the town of Bournemouth is "represented" as 4x separate parishes. Resources for "Poole" (as well as Christchurch) will instead be routed (diverted/stolen) for projects elsewhere.</p> <p>The number of parishes need to be equivalent across the "Three Towns", based on population, rate-payers, and geography –the cultural and historic value across the towns should be valued for this as well.</p> <p>The Parish boundaries need to be reviewed and corrected, for equal representation for everyone.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9
F661	Broadstone	The boundary between Broadstone and Creekmoor appears to have been drawn in a very arbitrary way, with some house in streets being in one and others in the other with no rhyme or reason.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
F662	Broadstone	The need for a mid level council is not required in BCP, simply because the incompetent BCP that is in place will push the blame out to the Parish council. We do not need yet another voice to run local area, creating more disinformation and more to the point the burden of extra costs to "rule" and provide no benefit.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
F663	Broadstone	The people of broadston do not want a extra layer of government. Its a wast of resources. It will only do things that should already be being done by BCP	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2

Q34 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Broadstone?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F664	Broadstone	The person who came up with this idea is behind the times and out of touch with reality. Their hubristic approach to local governance is not appropriate given current challenges and constraints, There is obviously a disjoint between what BCP should be doing with its limited funds and what it wants to do. Cut out the waste and things might get better.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
F665	Broadstone	This is an awful idea to charge more!!! Poor poor effort!!!	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
F666	Broadstone	This is an unnecessary cost for very little benefit	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH18 8
F667	Broadstone	This will add more cost to taxpayers.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
F668	Broadstone	Totally unnecessary	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
F669	Broadstone	unnecessary unwanted vanity project	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Parkstone	BH15 2
F670	Broadstone	Waste of time and tax payers money	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3
F671	Broadstone	We need to simplify local government as the number of people with the management and organisational experience needed to be effective in this role is getting smaller.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4

Q34 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Broadstone?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F672	Broadstone	Why four areas? Why do we need 14 councillors? We need a referendum NOT being forced upon us! Broadstone is Broadstone leave it as it is!	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH18 8
F673	Broadstone	Yes, stop wasting money	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
F674	Broadstone	Yes, this proposal will be too costly and wasteful.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
F675	Broadstone	In the distant past voluntary organisations could apply for a grant from the council (possibly the LEA) for equipment and events on a yearly basis. Could small council that increase administered by BCP be used in this way. Avoiding the need for an unelected unaccountable body.	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
F676	Broadstone	These are not good and are politically motivated to the extent of getting this into place in 2026 prior to the main BCP election in 2027. The Liberal Democrats have signalled strongly how this Council would be run at the cost of £5 per month. They have made it clear that they would be running this Council. This is not a good foundation for a Parish Council which to normal extent are apolitical. If the necessary, research and proper mapping should be taken into consideration, this would not match the Ward but the area of Broadstone. This could then be aptly called the Parish of Broadstone. The wish for a Parish Council Poll could take place in 2027 added to the main BCP Election. That cost would be minimal. The voice of the people heard through the ballot box.	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	

Q34 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Broadstone?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F677	Broadstone	<p>This whole thing is wrong!</p> <p>I do not agree there should be a second council tax just for the sake of the new parish and town councils</p> <p>There have been no costings whatsoever as to how much this will cost so how can anyone agree when no information given on exactly 1) what the new town and parish councils will do and 2) how much tax with NO ceiling increase will cost.</p> <p>The timing of this consultation is so wrong costing in excess of £100k when money could have been better spent.</p> <p>I strongly believe it's just a matter of creating 'jobs for the boys' . . . Or should I say girls in this instance!</p> <p>This survey and completing it is so very onerous. That too is disgusting and it's obviously relying on people to not bother giving their views as it's too difficult</p>	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
F678	Broadstone	Cuts should be made to the central BCP staff or expenditure to pay for the proposed additional councillors should the decision be made to introduce the proposed new wards	Disagree	Respondent from outside BCP	respondent living outside proposal area	Corfe Mullen	BH18 9
F679	Broadstone	why why why what is the value for money of the proposal	Disagree	Respondent from outside BCP	respondent living outside proposal area	Corfe Mullen	BH18 9
F680	Broadstone	Believe parish councils should be scrapped, simply another layer of cost and bureaucracy which be served better by centralised service. Also for this local parish representation to head of population is disproportionate to size and other BCP areas	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
F681	Broadstone	Less bureaucracy and transparency is required from BCP with more action from those already elected, not more!	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
F682	Broadstone	No	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4

Q34 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Broadstone?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F683	Broadstone	Parish Council NOT needed.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
F684	Broadstone	We do NOT need more councillors in the BCP area! The existing councillors should be trained to do a better job & not waste our taxes! They should control their funds instead of going into huge debt & asking schools to subsidise their debt. The system needs to be simplified NOT further complicated. Further councillors means more expensive council tax for NO PURPOSEFUL REASON- just more people doing a bad job. This is an unnecessary cost for zero benefit.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 3
F685	Broadstone	I would like to know how this is going to be funded? Will our council tax be reduced to pay for it? As it will take on more responsibility from BCP?	Don't know/not sure	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
F686	Broadstone	Consideration should be given to whether the southern boundary of the council area dissects the Hillbourne area, which isn't included in the list of local community areas.	Neither agree nor disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 8
F687	Broadstone	I am not either agreeing or disagreeing with this proposal, but just wishing to comment that a separate parish for Broadstone would make better sense if Broadstone and Merley were also becoming separate parishes. I think it is therefore important only to establish Broadstone as a separate parish if there is very strong support from residents, otherwise it should be included in Poole Town.	Neither agree nor disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2
F688	Broadstone	No	Neither agree nor disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
F689	Broadstone	Would like to see stricter controls on what establishments are allowed on Broadway	Neither agree nor disagree	Respondent from outside BCP	respondent living outside proposal area	Corfe Mullen	BH21 3

Q34 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Broadstone?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
F690	Broadstone	<p>The proposal to combine polling district BS4-A with BS1 to form a parish ward raises concerns as it would not be coterminous with the BCP Council ward boundary.</p> <p>Combining BS4-A with BS4 instead would align with the existing BCP Council polling district (BS4-A has been amalgamated into BS4 following resolution of a parliamentary boundary issue).</p> <p>BS4-A sits better with BS4 for effective and convenient governance and for cohesion purposes, there is a natural boundary of the main road - Broadstone Way – any electors from BS4-A would need to travel up to the roundabout and back down into BS1 if the proposal was implemented.</p> <p>By combining BS4-A with BS4 instead, the figures would be within the allowed variance: NW BS2 2046 3 682 +6.6% NE BS3 1762 3 587 -8.2% SE BS4 and BS4-A 2675 4 669 +4.5% SW BS1 2477 4 619 -6.7% 8960 14 Combining BS4-A with BS4 would resolve any concerns.</p>	Neither agree nor disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4